

EXHIBIT D

POMERANTZ LLP

Pomerantz LLP is one of the nation's foremost specialists in corporate, securities, antitrust and ERISA class litigation. The Firm was founded in 1936 by the late Abraham L. Pomerantz, one of the "pioneers who developed the class action/derivative action field."¹ Mr. Pomerantz rose to national prominence as a "champion of the small investor" and a "battler against corporate skullduggery."² Today, led by Co-Managing Partners Jeremy A. Lieberman and Patrick V. Dahlstrom, the Firm maintains the commitments to excellence and integrity passed down by Mr. Pomerantz.

For 80 years, the Firm has consistently shaped the law, winning landmark decisions that have expanded and protected investor rights, and initiated historic corporate governance reforms. The Legal 500 honored Pomerantz as a Leading Firm in 2016 and 2017; our attorneys are frequently chosen by their peers, year after years, as Super Lawyers® Top-Rated Securities Litigation Attorneys and Rising Stars.

Pomerantz is headquartered in New York City, with offices in Chicago, Los Angeles and Paris.

SECURITIES LITIGATION

SIGNIFICANT LANDMARKS IN SECURITIES-RELATED LITIGATIONS

Pomerantz is sole Lead Counsel, representing lead plaintiff Universities Superannuation Scheme, Ltd. ("USS"), in a historic securities class action against Petróleo Brasileiro SA ("Petrobras"). The class action, brought on behalf of all purchasers of common and preferred American Depository Shares ("ADSs") on the New York Stock Exchange, as well as purchasers of certain Petrobras debt, principally alleges that Petrobras and its senior executives engaged in a multi-year, multi-billion dollar money-laundering and bribery scheme, which was, of course, concealed from investors.

In a February 2016 Opinion and Order, the District Court certified all the classes proposed by plaintiffs, encompassing not only purchasers of Petrobras American Depository Receipts, but also Petrobras bondholders who acquired securities pursuant to domestic transactions. Plaintiffs asserted claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933. Defendants appealed the District Court's certification opinion on multiple grounds, including for failure to satisfy the requirement of ascertainability and for failure to satisfy the burden of showing that the Petrobras securities at issue traded in efficient markets. The Second Circuit accepted the appeal and largely rejected defendants' arguments, sending the case back to the District Court for further proceedings.

¹ New York Law Journal (August 1, 1983).

² Robert J. Cole, *Class Action Dean*, The National Law Journal, Vol. I No. 2 at 1 (Sept. 25, 1978).

The Second Circuit's decision is important and favorable precedent in several respects. First, in an issue of first impression, the Second Circuit squarely rejected defendants' invitation to adopt the heightened ascertainability requirement promulgated by the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, which would have required plaintiffs to demonstrate that determining membership in a class is "administratively feasible." The Second Circuit's rejection of this standard is not only a victory for bondholders in securities class actions, but also plaintiffs in consumer fraud class actions and other class actions where documentation regarding Class membership is not readily attainable.

With respect to Petrobras' bondholders, the Court vacated the District Court's granting of class certification, but only to the extent that it did not perform an analysis regarding the impact of the Supreme Court's decision in *Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank Ltd.*, 561 U.S. 247 (2010) on the predominance requirement of class certification, acknowledging that "the district court might properly certify one or more classes that capture all of the Securities holders who fall within the Classes as currently defined."

The Second Circuit also refused to adopt a requirement, urged by defendants, that all securities class action plaintiffs seeking class certification prove through direct evidence (i.e., via an event study) that the prices of the relevant securities moved in a particular direction in response to new information.

In May 2017, Pomerantz, as Co-Lead Counsel, achieved final approval of a \$135 million recovery for the Class in *Kaplan v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P.* This securities class action stemmed from what has been called the most profitable insider trading scheme in U.S. history. After years of vigorous litigation, billionaire Steven A. Cohen's former hedge fund, S.A.C. Capital Advisors LP, agreed to settle the lawsuit by investors in the drug maker Elan Corp, who said they lost money because of insider trading by one of his portfolio managers.

In July 2017, Pomerantz secured the right of investors in BP p.l.c. to pursue "holder claims." The ruling, by the Honorable Keith P. Ellison of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, is significant, given the dearth of precedent from anywhere in the U.S. that both recognizes the potential viability of a holder claim under some body of non-U.S. federal law and holds that the plaintiffs attempting one sufficiently alleged facts giving rise to reliance and other required elements of the underlying legal claims. Since 2012, Pomerantz has pursued ground-breaking claims on behalf of institutional investors in BP p.l.c. to recover losses in BP's common stock (which trades on the London Stock Exchange) stemming from the 2010 Gulf oil spill. The threshold challenge was how to litigate in U.S. court in the wake of the Supreme Court's 2010 decision in *Morrison*, which barred recovery for losses in foreign-traded securities under the U.S. federal securities laws. In 2013 and 2014, Pomerantz secured a series of significant victories in individual actions pursued on behalf of institutional investors in *In re BP plc. Securities Litigation*, MDL 2185 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Tex.). Pomerantz defeated BP's *forum non conveniens* arguments seeking dismissal of U.S. institutions and, later, foreign institutions, pursuing English common law claims seeking recovery of investment losses stemming from the 2010 Gulf oil spill in both NYSE-traded American Depository Shares and London Stock Exchange (LSE)-traded common stock. Pomerantz also defeated BP's attempt to extend the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act to dismiss these claims. Thanks to these rulings, Pomerantz is now leading the only litigation following the Supreme Court's decision in *Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank*, which foreclosed use of U.S. federal securities laws to recover for losses in foreign-traded stocks, where U.S. and foreign investors, pursuing foreign claims, seeking recovery

for losses in foreign-traded stocks are doing so in a U.S. court. (See fuller discussion below, in “At the Vanguard, Post-Morrison.”)

In June 2010, the court granted final approval of a \$225 million settled proposed by Pomerantz and Lead Plaintiff the Menora Group, with Comverse Technology and certain of Comverse’s former officers and directors, after four years of highly contested litigation. The Comverse settlement is one of the largest securities class action settlements reached since the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (“PSLRA”).³ It is the second-largest recovery in a securities litigation involving the backdating of options, as well as one of the largest recoveries – \$60 million – from an individual officer-defendant, Comverse’s founder and former CEO, Kobi Alexander. *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 06-CV-1825 (E.D.N.Y.)

Even before the enactment of the PSLRA, Pomerantz represented state agencies in securities class actions, including the Treasurer of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (recovered \$100 million) against a major investment bank. *In re Salomon Brothers Treasury Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.).

Pomerantz recovered \$50 million for the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey and several New Jersey pension funds in an individual action. This was a substantially higher recovery than what our clients would have obtained had they remained in a related federal class action. *Treasurer of the State of New Jersey v. AOL Time Warner, Inc.* (N.J. Super. Ct. Law Div., Mercer Co.).

Pomerantz has litigated numerous cases for the Louisiana School Employees’ Retirement System. For example, as Lead Counsel, Pomerantz recovered \$74.75 million in a securities fraud class action against Citigroup, its CEO Sanford Weil, and its now infamous telecommunications analyst Jack Grubman. *In re Salomon Analysts AT&T Litig.*, (S.D.N.Y.) Also, the Firm played a major role in a complex antitrust and securities class action which settled for over \$1 billion. *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.*, (S.D.N.Y.). Pomerantz was a member of the Executive Committee in *In re Transkaryotic Sec. Litig.*, (D. Mass), helping to win a \$50 million settlement for the class.

In 2008, together with Co-Counsel, Pomerantz identified a substantial opportunity for recovery of losses in Countrywide mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") for three large New Mexico funds (New Mexico State Investment Council, New Mexico Public Employees' Retirement Association, and New Mexico Educational Retirement Board), that had been overlooked by all of the firms then in their securities litigation pool. We then filed the first non-class lawsuit by a public institution with respect to Countrywide MBS. See *New Mexico State Inv. Council v. Countrywide Fin. Corp., et al.*, No. D-0101-CV-2008-02289 (N.M. 1st Dist. Ct.). In Fall 2010, we negotiated for our clients an extremely favorable but confidential settlement.

Over its long history, Pomerantz has achieved significant settlements in numerous cases, a sampling of which are listed below:

- *Kaplan v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P.*, No. 12 Civ. 9350 (S.D.N.Y.)
\$135 million settlement of class action in which Pomerantz was Co-Lead Counsel.
- *In re Groupon, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 12-cv-02450 (N.D. Ill.)
\$45 million settlement of class action in which Pomerantz was sole Lead Counsel.

³ Institutional Shareholder Services, SCAS “Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report,” (Sept. 30, 2010).

- *Thomas v. Magnachip Semiconductor Corp. et al*, No. 14-cv-01160 (N.D. Cal.)
\$23.5 million partial settlement of class action in which Pomerantz was sole Lead Counsel.
- *In re Lumber Liquidators, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 4:13-cv-00157-AWA-DEM (E.D. Va.)
\$26 million and 1 million shares of common stock tentative settlement in class action in which Pomerantz was sole Lead Counsel.
- *Richard Thorpe and Darrel Weisheit v. Walter Investment Management Corp., et al.*, No. 1:14-cv-20880-UU
\$24 million settlement of class action in which Pomerantz was sole Lead Counsel.
- *In re Sealed Air Corp. Sec. Litig.* (D.N.J. 2010)
\$20 million settlement in class action in which Pomerantz was Co-Lead Counsel representing the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System.
- *In re Elan Corp. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y. 2005)
\$75 million settlement in class action arising out of alleged accounting manipulations.
- *In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y. 2005)
\$17 million settlement for the class; plus summary judgment against remaining defendants for \$36 million (including pre-judgment interest); totaling over 100% of claimed damages.
- *In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Stockholders Litig.* (D. S.C. 2004)
\$54.5 million in total settlements in class action alleging accounting manipulations by corporate officials and auditors; last settlement reached on eve of trial.
- *Mardean Duckworth v. Country Life Insurance Co.* (Ill. Cir. Ct., Cook Cty. 2000)
\$45 million recovery.
- *In re First Executive Corp. Sec. Litig.* (C.D. Cal. 1994)
\$102 million recovery for the class, exposing a massive securities fraud arising out of the Michael Milken debacle.
- *Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.* (Sup. Ct., Onondaga Cty. 1998)
Settlement valued at \$100 million in derivative case arising from injuries to consumers purchasing life insurance policies.
- *In re Boardwalk Marketplace Sec. Litig.* (D. Conn. 1994)
Over \$66 million benefit in securities fraud action.
- *In re National Health Lab., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (S.D. Cal. 1995)
\$64 million recovery.
- *In re Telerate, Inc. Shareholders Litig.* (Del. Ch. 1989)
\$95 million benefit in case alleging violation of fiduciary duty under state law.

Pomerantz has also obtained stellar results for private institutions and Taft-Hartley funds. Below are a few examples:

- *In re Charter Communications, Inc. Secs. Litig.* (W.D. Mo.) (sole Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff StoneRidge Investment Partners LLC); \$146.25 million class settlement, where Charter also agreed to enact substantive improvements in corporate governance.
- *In re American Italian Pasta Securities Litigation* (W.D. Mo.) (sole Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Ironworkers Locals 40, 361 and 417; \$28.5 million aggregate settlements).
- *Richardson and CC Partners, LLC v. Gray* (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cty.); and *In re Summit Metals*, (Bankr. D. Del.) (two derivative actions where the Firm represented C.C. Partners Ltd. and obtained judgment of contempt against controlling shareholder for having made "extraordinary" payments to himself in violation of a preliminary injunction; persuaded the court to jail him for

two years upon his refusal to pay; and, in a related action, won a \$43 million judgment after trial and obtained turnover of stock of two companies).

SHAPING THE LAW

Not only has Pomerantz established a long track record of obtaining substantial monetary recoveries for our clients; whenever appropriate, we also pursue corporate governance reforms on their behalf. In *In re Chesapeake S'holder Deriv. Litig.*, No. CJ-2009-3983 (Dist. Okla.), for example, the Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel, representing a public pension client in a derivative case arising from an excessive compensation package granted to Chesapeake's CEO and founder. This was a derivative action, not a class action. Yet it is illustrative of the results that can be obtained by an institutional investor in the corporate governance arena. There, we obtained a settlement which called for the repayment of \$12.1 million and other consideration by the CEO. The *Wall Street Journal* (November 3, 2011) characterized the settlement as "a rare concession for the 52-year old executive, who has run the company largely by his own rules since he co-founded it in 1989." The settlement also included comprehensive corporate governance reforms.

The Firm has won many landmark decisions that have enhanced shareholders' rights and improved corporate governance. These include decisions that established that:

- shareholders have a right to a jury trial in derivative actions. *Ross v. Bernhard*, 396 U.S. 531 (1970).
- a mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") holder may bring claims if the MBS price declines even if all payments of principal and interest have been made. *New Mexico State Inv. Council v. Countrywide Fin. Corp., et al.*, No. D-0101-CV-2008-02289, Transcript of Proceedings on March 25, 2009 (N.M. 1st Dist. Ct.)
- when a court selects a Lead Plaintiff under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA"), the standard for calculating the "largest financial interest" must take into account sales as well as purchases. *In re Comverse Technology Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14878 (E.D.N.Y. 2007).
- purchasers of options have standing to sue under federal securities laws. *In re Green Tree Fin. Corp. Options Litig.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13986 (D. Minn. 2002).
- a company may have the obligation to disclose to shareholders its Board's consideration of important corporate transactions, such as the possibility of a spin-off, even before any final decision has been made. *Kronfeld v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 832 F.2d 726 (2d Cir. 1987).
- specific standards for assessing whether mutual fund advisors breach fiduciary duties by charging excessive fees. *Gartenberg v. Merrill Lynch Asset Mgmt., Inc.*, 740 F.2d 190 (2d Cir. 1984).
- investment advisors to mutual funds are fiduciaries who cannot sell their trustee positions for a profit. *Rosenfeld v. Black*, 445 F.2d 1337 (2d Cir. 1971).
- management directors of mutual funds have a duty to make full disclosure to outside directors "in every area where there was even a possible conflict of interest." *Moses v. Burgin*, 445 F.2d 369 (1st Cir. 1971).
- a managing underwriter can owe fiduciary duties of loyalty and care to an issuer in connection with a public offering of the issuer stock, even in the absence of any contractual agreement. Professor John C. Coffee, a renowned Columbia University securities law professor, commenting on the ruling, stated: "It's going to change the practice of all underwriting." *EBC I*,

Inc. v. Goldman Sachs & Co., 5 N.Y. 3d 11 (2005).

AT THE VANGUARD, POST-MORRISON

The April 20, 2010 Deepwater Horizon rig explosion and the resulting oil spill – the worst in U.S. history – devastated countless lives and caused immeasurable environmental damage in the Gulf of Mexico and along its coastlines. The spill also impacted investors in BP plc. (“BP”). Within weeks, the price of BP’s ordinary shares and its American Depository Shares (ADS) plummeted nearly 50%, driven down by revelations regarding BP’s prior misstatements about its commitment to safety and the true scope of the spill.

Although many BP investors immediately considered their legal options, the U.S. Supreme Court’s decision in *Morrison v. Nat’l Australia Bank Ltd.*, 130 S. Ct. 2869 (2010) presented a seeming insurmountable hurdle, in that it barred use of the U.S. federal securities laws to recover losses from investments in foreign-traded securities. Thus, although the U.S. federal securities laws protected purchasers of BP’s ADS, which trade on the New York Stock Exchange, the same was not true for the purchasers of BP’s ordinary shares, which trade on the London Stock Exchange. For investors who purchased BP common stock, they seemed to have no legal options in the U.S. court system.

With a long tradition of developing innovative ways to advance client interests, Pomerantz responded by developing a new legal theory, placing it once again at the vanguard of groundbreaking litigation. On behalf of its clients, Pomerantz is pursuing common law fraud and negligence claims against BP, in the U.S. courts, to recover losses associated with its clients’ BP common stock investments. For investors who also purchased BP’s ADS, Pomerantz is simultaneously pursuing U.S. federal securities claims – in the same lawsuit.

Through a series of hard-fought victories, Pomerantz has secured the right of both U.S. and foreign institutional investors to pursue these claims in U.S. federal court. First, in a landmark decision issued in October 2013 (as revised in December 2013), the Honorable Keith Ellison of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas denied BP’s motion to dismiss Pomerantz’s complaint on behalf of three U.S. pension funds. Judge Ellison rejected BP’s argument that the case should be sent to courts in England under the doctrine of *forum non conveniens*, and his decision to apply English law mooted BP’s arguments that the case should be dismissed under *Morrison* or the Dormant Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution as improper regulation of foreign commerce.

In decisions issued in October 2014, Judge Ellison denied BP’s attempt to dismiss the cases of Pomerantz’s foreign institutional clients on *forum non conveniens* grounds. He also rejected BP’s attempt to extend the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act (SLUSA) to the English common law claims being pursued by Pomerantz’s clients, and by extension, rejected BP’s argument that SLUSA required the dismissal of our foreign and U.S. non-public institutional clients.

These decisions secured the right of Pomerantz’s clients, both foreign and domestic, to pursue English common law claims – in U.S. federal court – to recover their losses in BP’s London-traded common shares and its New York-traded ADS. This litigation is literally the first time, post-*Morrison*, that institutional investors have been permitted to pursue foreign claims seeking recovery for foreign traded securities in a U.S. court.

Also in October 2014, Pomerantz secured important rulings regarding the Exchange Act claims being pursued by certain of our clients regarding their ADS losses. Judge Ellison agreed with Pomerantz that *American Pipe* tolling applied to both the statute of limitation and the statute of repose applicable to our Section 10(b) claims. This ruling was significant given the split of authority nationwide and the Supreme Court's expression of interest in the repose issue (which was to have been heard in the *IndyMac* appeal).

In 2015, Pomerantz embarked on an effort to also secure the rights of investors who retained shares of BP stock because of the fraud, an approach typically referred to as a "holder claim." The U.S. Supreme Court has barred pursuit of holder claims under the U.S. federal securities laws since *Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores*, 421 U.S. 723 (1975). Nevertheless, we developed extensive facts from our clients and their investment managers, consulted with an English law expert, and sought to amend their complaints to add a holder claim theory under their English legal claims. We had to file a motion seeking leave of court to amend most of our clients' complaints, which BP opposed. The court granted our motion, and we filed all the amended complaints by 2016. BP's third motion to dismiss followed, seeking dismissal of the holder claims on two principal grounds – damages and reliance.

First, BP argued that, as a matter of "logic," no investor was damaged by retaining shares in reliance on the postspill fraud, when BP understated the scope of the oil spill, because had BP truthfully disclosed its scope up-front, the stock would have immediately bottomed out, leaving no time to sell at any price higher than the bottom. BP's argument had support among U.S. case law, including a decision by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. However, as Pomerantz argued to Judge Ellison, the stock declines in the post-spill period had a complex mix of causes – while some were due to corrections of the post-spill fraud, others were due to corrections of the pre-spill fraud (regarding BP's safety reforms and upgrades) or general market declines unrelated to any fraud – and English law permits recovery of all such declines after an investor was induced to retain shares that otherwise would have been sold. The court agreed that Pomerantz had alleged cognizable damages, and it rejected BP's argument.

Second, BP argued that we had not sufficiently alleged our clients' reliance on the fraud as the reason they retained BP shares. BP argued that, for purposes of a holder claim, U.S. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b) required our clients to allege not only the aspects of the fraud on which they relied and the date(s) on which they would have sold their BP shares, but also the exact number of shares they would have sold and the prices they would have received. Pomerantz argued that level of precision was not required, and the court agreed, holding instead that Rule 9(b) required us to allege with particularity only what actions our clients "took or forewent," beyond "unspoken and unrecorded thoughts and decisions," due to the fraud. Applying this still-stringent standard, the court held that certain Pomerantz clients had indeed adequately alleged their reliance on the fraud as the reason they retained already-held shares of BP stock. The court differentiated between clients based on the level of factual details alleged. The court's order illustrates that it was persuaded that a viable holder claim was alleged when a client's complaint recounted investment notes memorializing not only aspects of the fraud (e.g., BP's false oil flow rate statements) but also calculations based thereon, resulting conclusions, and an express, contemporaneous decision to continue to hold BP shares. For these clients, the court agreed that evidence as to the exact amount of damages was more appropriate for a later stage of the case.

These rulings are significant, given the dearth of precedent from anywhere in the U.S. that both recognizes the potential viability of a holder claim under some body of non-U.S. federal law and holds that the plaintiffs attempting one sufficiently alleged facts giving rise to reliance and other required elements of the underlying legal claims. For this reason, we anticipate that the decision rendered in the BP litigation on behalf of our clients will become an important and useful precedent for investor suits.

In total, Pomerantz represents nearly three dozen clients in BP-related litigation, including U.S. public pension funds, U.S. limited partnerships and ERISA trusts, and institutional investors from the U.K., France, the Netherlands, Canada, and Australia.

Pomerantz's BP litigation is overseen by Partners Marc I. Gross, Jeremy A. Lieberman, and Matthew L. Tuccillo.

COMMENTS FROM THE COURTS

Throughout its history, courts time and again have acknowledged the Firm's ability to vigorously pursue and successfully litigate actions on behalf of investors.

In approving the settlement in *Richard Thorpe and Darrel Weisheit, et al. v. Walter Investment Management, et al.*, No. 1:14-cv-20880-UU (S.D. Fla.) the Hon. Ursula Ungaro wrote,

Class Counsel has developed a reputation for zealous advocacy in securities class actions... . The settlement amount of \$24 million is an outstanding result.

Judge Jed S. Rakoff, in certifying two Classes in *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.* in February 2016, wrote:

. . . [O]n the basis not only of USS's counsel's prior experience but also the Court's observation of its advocacy over the many months since it was appointed Lead Counsel, the Court concludes that Pomerantz, the proposed class counsel, is "qualified, experienced and able to conduct the litigation." . . . [T]he Pomerantz firm has both the skill and resources to represent the Classes adequately.

At the May 2015 hearing wherein the Court approved the settlement in *Courtney, et al. v. Avid Technology, et al.*, No. 1:13-cv-10686-WGY (D. Mass.) following oral argument by Jeremy A. Lieberman, the Hon. William G. Young stated,

This has been very well litigated. It is always a privilege. I don't just say that as a matter of form. And I thank you for the vigorous litigation that I've been permitted to be a part of. (Tr. at 8-9)

At the January 2012 hearing wherein the Court approved the settlement in *In re Chesapeake Shareholder Derivative Litig.* No. CJ-2009-3983 (Okla. Dist.), following oral argument by Marc I. Gross, the Hon. Daniel L. Owens stated,

Counsel, it's a pleasure, and I mean this and rarely say it. I think I've said it two times in 25 years. It is an extreme pleasure to deal with counsel of such caliber.
(Tr. at 48)

In approving the \$225 million settlement in *In re Comverse Technology Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 06-CV-1825 (E.D.N.Y.) in June 2010, Judge Nicholas G. Garaufis stated:

As outlined above, the recovery in this case is one of the highest ever achieved in this type of securities action. . . . The court also notes that, throughout this litigation, it has been impressed by Lead Counsel's acumen and diligence. The briefing has been thorough, clear, and convincing, and . . . Lead Counsel has not taken short cuts or relaxed its efforts at any stage of the litigation.

In approving a \$146.25 million settlement in *In re Charter Communications Sec. Litig.*, 02 Cv 1186 (E.D. Mo. 2005), in which Pomerantz served as sole Lead Counsel, Judge Charles A. Shaw praised the Firm's efforts:

This Court believes Lead Plaintiff achieved an excellent result in a complex action, where the risk of obtaining a significantly smaller recovery, if any, was substantial. In awarding fees to Pomerantz, the Court cited "the vigor with which Lead Counsel . . . investigated claims, briefed the motions to dismiss, and negotiated the settlement."

...

In approving a \$24 million settlement in *In re Force Protection, Inc.* 08 CV 845 (D.S.C. 2011), Judge C. Weston Houk described the Firm as "attorneys of great ability and great reputation" and commended the Firm for having "done an excellent job."

In certifying a class in a securities fraud action against analysts in *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Gerard D. Lynch stated that Pomerantz had "ably and zealously represented the interests of the class."

Numerous courts have made similar comments:

- Appointing Pomerantz Lead Counsel in *American Italian Pasta Co. Sec. Litig.*, No 05-CV-0725-W-ODS (W.D. Mo.), a class action that involved a massive fraud and restatements spanning several years, the District Court observed that the Firm ". . . has significant experience (and has been extremely effective) litigating securities class actions, employs highly qualified attorneys, and possesses ample resources to effectively manage the class litigation and protect the class's interests."
- In approving the settlement in *In re Wiring Devices Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 331 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 9, 1980), Chief Judge Jack B. Weinstein stated that "Counsel for the plaintiffs I think did an excellent job. . . . They are outstanding and skillful. The litigation was and is extremely complex. They assumed a great deal of responsibility. They recovered a very large amount given the possibility of no recovery here which was in my opinion substantial."
- In *Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.*, Index No. 97/0633, (N.Y. Supreme Court, Onondaga County), a case where Pomerantz served as Co-Lead Counsel, Judge Tormey stated, "It was

a pleasure to work with you. This is a good result. You've got some great attorneys working on it."

- In *Steinberg v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.*, 99 CV 7725 (E.D.N.Y.), Judge Spatt, granting class certification and appointing the Firm as class counsel, observed: "The Pomerantz firm has a strong reputation as class counsel and has demonstrated its competence to serve as class counsel in this motion for class certification." (2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17669 at *24)
- In *Mercury Savings and Loan*, CV 90-87 LHM (C.D. Cal.), Judge McLaughlin commended the Firm for the "absolutely extraordinary job in this litigation."
- In *Boardwalk Marketplace Securities Litigation*, MDL No. 712 (D. Conn.), Judge Eginton described the Firm's services as "exemplary," praised it for its "usual fine job of lawyering . . . [in] an extremely complex matter," and concluded that the case was "very well-handled and managed." (Tr. at 6, 5/20/92; Tr. at 10, 10/10/92)
- In *Nodar v. Weksel*, 84 Civ. 3870 (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Broderick acknowledged "that the services rendered [by Pomerantz] were excellent services from the point of view of the class represented, [and] the result was an excellent result." (Tr. at 21-22, 12/27/90)
- In *Klein v. A.G. Becker Paribas, Inc.*, 83 Civ. 6456 (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Goettel complimented the Firm for providing "excellent . . . absolutely top-drawer representation for the class, particularly in light of the vigorous defense offered by the defense firm." (Tr. at 22, 3/6/87)
- In *Digital Sec. Litig.*, 83-3255Y (D. Mass.), Judge Young lauded the Firm for its "[v]ery fine lawyering." (Tr. at 13, 9/18/86)
- In *Shelter Realty Corp. v. Allied Maintenance Corp.*, 75 F.R.D. 34, 40 (S.D.N.Y.), Judge Frankel, referring to Pomerantz, said: "Their experience in handling class actions of this nature is known to the court and certainly puts to rest any doubt that the absent class members will receive the quality of representation to which they are entitled."
- In *Rauch v. Bilzerian*, 88 Civ. 15624 (Sup. Ct. N.J.), the court, after trial, referred to Pomerantz partners as "exceptionally competent counsel," and as having provided "top drawer, topflight [representation], certainly as good as I've seen in my stay on this court."

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Pomerantz has earned a reputation for prosecuting complex antitrust and consumer class actions with vigor, innovation, and success. Pomerantz's Antitrust and Consumer Group has recovered billions of dollars for the Firm's business and individual clients and the classes that they represent. Time and again, Pomerantz has protected our free-market system from anticompetitive conduct, such as price fixing, monopolization, exclusive territorial division, pernicious pharmaceutical conduct, and false advertising. Pomerantz's advocacy has spanned across diverse product markets, exhibiting the Antitrust and Consumer Group's versatility to prosecute class actions on any terrain.

Pomerantz has served and is currently serving in leadership or co-leadership roles in several high-profile multi-district litigation class actions. The Firm currently represents a class of lending institutions in New York that originated, purchased outright, or purchased a participation interest in loans paying interest rates tied to the U.S. Dollar Interbank Offered Rate (USD LIBOR), *The Berkshire Bank v. Bank of America Corp.* (S.D.N.Y.) (2012). It is alleged that the class suffered damages

as a result of collusive manipulation by the contributor panel banks that artificially increased the USD LIBOR rate during the class period, causing them to receive lower interest than they would have otherwise.

Pomerantz also currently represents baseball and hockey fans in a potentially game-changing antitrust class action against Major League Baseball and the National Hockey League challenging the exclusive territorial division of live television broadcasts, internet streaming, and the resulting geographic blackouts. See *Laumann v. NHL* and *Garber v. MLB* (S.D.N.Y.) (2012).

Pomerantz has spearheaded the effort to challenge harmful anticompetitive conduct by pharmaceutical companies—including Pay-for-Delay Agreements—that artificially inflates the price of prescription drugs by keeping generic versions off the market.

Even prior to the 2013 precedential U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Actavis*, Pomerantz litigated and successfully settled the following generic-drug-delay cases:

- *In re Flonase Antitrust Litig.* (E.D. Pa.) (2008) (\$35 million);
- *In re Toprol XL Antitrust Litig.* (D. Del.) (2006) (\$11 million); and
- *In re Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litig.* (E.D. Pa.) (2004) (\$21.5 million).

Pomerantz's results speak for themselves. Pomerantz served as liaison counsel, a member of plaintiffs' executive committee, and represented the largest retailer class representative in *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merch. Disc. Antitrust Litig.* (E.D.N.Y., Dec. 13, 2013). This monumental antitrust class action resulted in a settlement in excess of \$7 billion, which is estimated to be the largest-ever U.S. antitrust settlement.

Other exemplary victories include Pomerantz's prominent role in *In re NASDAQ Mkt.-Makers Antitrust Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.), which resulted in a settlement in excess of \$1 billion for class members, one of the largest antitrust settlements in history. Pomerantz also played prominent roles in *In re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.), which resulted in over an \$82 million recovery, and in *In re Methionine Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.), which resulted in a \$107 million recovery. These cases illustrate the resources, expertise, and commitment that Pomerantz's Antitrust Group devotes to prosecuting some of the most egregious anticompetitive conduct.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE LITIGATION

Pomerantz is committed to ensuring that companies adhere to responsible business practices and practice good corporate citizenship. We strongly support policies and procedures designed to give shareowners the ability to oversee the activities of a corporation. We vigorously pursue corporate governance reform, particularly in the area of excess compensation, where it can address the growing disparity between the salaries of executives and the workers of major corporations. We have successfully utilized litigation to bring about corporate governance reform in numerous cases, and always consider whether such reforms are appropriate before any case is settled.

Pomerantz partners are frequent speakers at domestic and international conferences on the importance of securities fraud actions in such countries as the United Kingdom, France, and Israel.

According to Partner, Marc Gross, “We need to have these kinds of legal remedies available in order to maintain corporate honesty and accountability.”

Pomerantz’s Corporate Governance Practice Group, led by Partner Gustavo F. Bruckner, enforces shareholder rights and prosecutes actions challenging corporate transactions that arise from an unfair process or result in an unfair price for shareholders.

Pomerantz is Co-Lead Counsel in an action challenging the sale of American Capital, a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Maryland, to Ares Capital. We alleged that the directors agreed to the quick sale to Ares Capital at a price below what two other bidders were willing to offer, and even what the company’s planned phased liquidation would bring under pressure from Elliott Management, the activist hedge fund and holder of approximate 15% of American Capital stock. Our original complaint did not name Elliott as a defendant and they refused to provide third party discovery. After initial discovery showed the extent of their involvement, we added them as a defendant in an amended complaint.

In June 2017, minutes before the hearing on the motion to dismiss, Pomerantz and co-counsel entered into a partial settlement with the director defendants for \$11.5 million. The hearing continued, but only as to the jurisdictional issue. In July 2017, the Court issued its opinion denying the motion to dismiss and finding that Elliott, “by virtue solely of its own conduct, ... has easily satisfied the transacting business prong of the Maryland long arm statute,” and that the “amended complaint in this case sufficiently pleads that Elliott was a controller with respect to” the sale, thus implicating a higher standard of review. The case is now headed for trial.

In January 2017, the Group received the approval of the Delaware Chancery Court for a \$5.6 million settlement it achieved on behalf of a class of shareholders of Physicians Formula Holdings Inc. over an ignored merger offer in 2012. *In re: Physicians Formula Holdings Inc.*, C.A. No. 7794-VCL (Del. Ch. Ct.).

The Group obtained a landmark ruling in *Strougo v. Hollander*, C.A. No. 9770-CB (Del. Ch. Ct.), that fee-shifting bylaws adopted after a challenged transaction do not apply to stockholders affected by the transaction. They were also able to obtain a 25% price increase for members of the class cashed out in the going private transaction.

In *Miller v. Bolduc*, SUCV 2015-00807 (Superior Court Massachusetts) the Group caused Implant Sciences to hold its first stockholder annual meeting in 5 years and put an important compensation grant up for a stockholder vote.

In *Smollar v. Potarazu*, C.A. No. 10287-VCN (Del. Ch. Ct.), the Group pursued a derivative action to bring about the appointment of two independent members to the board of directors, retention of an independent auditor, dissemination of financials to stockholders and the holding of first ever in-person annual meeting, among other corporate therapeutics.

In *Hallandale Beach Police Officers and Firefighters' Personnel Retirement Fund vs. lululemon athletica, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 8522-VCP (Del. Ch. Ct.), in an issue of first impression in Delaware, the Chancery Court ordered the production of the chairman’s 10b5-1 stock trading plan. The Court found that a stock trading plan established by the company’s chairman, pursuant to which a broker, rather than

the chairman himself, would liquidate a portion of the chairman's stock in the company, did not preclude potential liability for insider trading.

In *Strougo v. North State Bancorp*, 15 CVS 14696 (North Carolina Superior Court), the Group caused the Merger Agreement to be amended to provide a "majority of the minority" provision for the holders of North State Bancorp's common stock in connection with the stockholder vote on the Merger. As a result of the Action, common stockholders could stop the Merger if they did not wish it to go forward.

Pomerantz's commitment to advancing sound corporate governance principles is further demonstrated by the more than 26 years that we have co-sponsored The Abraham L. Pomerantz Lecture Series with Brooklyn Law School. These lectures focus on critical and emerging issues concerning shareholder rights and corporate governance and bring together top academics and litigators.

Our bi-monthly newsletter, *The Pomerantz Monitor*, provides institutional investors updates and insights on current issues in corporate governance.

PARTNERS

JEREMY A. LIEBERMAN

Jeremy A. Lieberman is Pomerantz's Co-Managing Partner with Patrick Dahlstrom. Mr. Lieberman became associated with the Firm in August 2004, and became a partner in January 2010. He has been honored as a 2016 and 2017 Super Lawyers® "Top-Rated Securities Litigation Attorney," a recognition bestowed on no more than 5% of eligible attorneys in the New York Metro area.

Mr. Lieberman serves as Lead Counsel in *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, a closely-watched case arising from a multi-billion dollar kickback and bribery scheme involving Brazil's largest oil company, Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras. Mr. Lieberman has had an integral role in a number of high-profile securities class and derivative actions, including *Comverse Technology Sec. Litig.*, in which he and his partners achieved a historic \$225 million settlement on behalf of the Class, which was the second-largest options backdating settlement to date.

Mr. Lieberman is Lead Counsel in a putative securities class action that alleges Barclays PLC misled institutional investor clients about the extent of the banking giant's use of so-called "dark pool" trading systems. This case turns on the duty of integrity owed by Barclays to its clients. He also serves as Lead Counsel in the Firm's case against Corinthian Colleges, one of the largest for-profit college systems in the country, for alleged misrepresentations about its job placement rates, compliance with applicable regulations, and enrollment statistics. Pomerantz prevailed in the motion to dismiss proceedings, a particularly noteworthy victory because Chief Judge George King of the Central District of California had dismissed two prior lawsuits against Corinthian with similar allegations.

Mr. Lieberman serves as Interim Class Counsel on behalf of a class lenders and financial institutions litigating claims arising out of the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") rate rigging scandal. He was Lead Counsel in *In re Medicis Corp. Sec. Litig.*, in which the Court approved an \$18 million settlement, and is Lead Counsel in a number of the Firm's other litigations.

In *In re China North East Petroleum Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Mr. Lieberman achieved a significant victory for shareholders in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, whereby the Appeals Court ruled that a temporary rise in share price above its purchase price in the aftermath of a corrective disclosure did not eviscerate an investor's claim for damages. The Second Circuit's decision was deemed "precedential" by the New York Law Journal, and provides critical guidance for assessing damages in a § 10(b) action.

Mr. Lieberman regularly consults with Pomerantz's international institutional clients, including pension funds, regarding their rights under the U.S. securities laws. Mr. Lieberman is working with the firm's international clients to craft a response to the Supreme Court's ruling in *Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank, Ltd.*, which limited the ability of foreign investors to seek redress under the federal securities laws. Currently, Mr. Lieberman is representing several UK and EU pension funds and asset managers in individual actions against BP plc in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

Mr. Lieberman is a frequent lecturer regarding current corporate governance and securities litigation issues. In December 2013, he spoke at the Annual Provident Funds Coalition Conference in Eilat, Israel on Morrison and its implications for TASE investors. He also recently led a discussion regard U.S. securities class actions in Amsterdam.

Mr. Lieberman graduated from Fordham University School of Law in 2002. While in law school, he served as a staff member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal. Upon graduation, he began his career at a major New York law firm as a litigation associate, where he specialized in complex commercial litigation.

PATRICK V. DAHLSTROM

Patrick Dahlstrom is Pomerantz's Co-Managing Partner with Jeremy A. Lieberman. Mr. Dahlstrom joined Pomerantz as an associate in 1991 and became a partner in January 1996. He is based in the Firm's Chicago office.

Mr. Dahlstrom is a member of the Firm's Institutional Investor Practice and New Case Groups, and has extensive experience litigating cases under the PSLRA. He was partner-in-charge of *In re Comverse Technology Sec. Litig.*, No. 06-CV-1825 (E.D.N.Y.), in which the Firm, as Lead Counsel, recovered a \$225 million settlement for the Class – the second-highest ever for a case involving the back-dating options, and one of the largest recoveries ever from an individual officer-defendant, the company's founder and former CEO. In *Comverse*, the Firm obtained an important clarification of how courts calculate the "largest financial interest" in connection with the selection of a Lead Plaintiff, in a manner consistent with *Dura*, 544 U.S. 336 (2005). Judge Garaufis, in approving the settlement, lauded Pomerantz: "The court also notes that, throughout this litigation, it has been impressed by Lead Counsel's acumen and diligence. The briefing has been thorough, clear, and convincing, and . . . Lead Counsel has not taken short cuts or relaxed its efforts at any stage of the litigation."

In *DeMarco v. Robertson Stephens*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS (S.D.N.Y. 2005), Mr. Dahlstrom obtained the first class certification in a federal securities case involving fraud by analysts.

Mr. Dahlstrom's extensive experience in litigation under the PSLRA has made him an expert not only at making compelling arguments on behalf of Pomerantz' clients for Lead Plaintiff status, but also in discerning weaknesses of competing candidates. *In re American Italian Pasta Co. Sec. Litig.* and *Comverse* are the most recent examples of his success in getting our clients appointed sole Lead Plaintiff despite competing motions by numerous impressive institutional clients.

Mr. Dahlstrom was a member of the trial team in *In re ICN/Viratek Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.), which, after trial, settled for \$14.5 million. Judge Wood praised the trial team: "[P]laintiffs counsel did a superb job here on behalf of the class . . . This was a very hard fought case. You had very able, superb opponents, and they put you to your task . . . The trial work was beautifully done and I believe very efficiently done."

Mr. Dahlstrom's speaking engagements include interviews by NBC and the CBC regarding securities class actions, and among others, a presentation at the November 2009 State Association of County

Retirement Systems Fall Conference as the featured speaker at the Board Chair/Vice Chair Session entitled: "Cleaning Up After the 100 Year Storm. How trustees can protect assets and recover losses following the burst of the housing and financial bubbles."

Mr. Dahlstrom is a 1987 graduate of the Washington College of Law at American University in Washington, D.C., where he was a Dean's Fellow, Editor in Chief of the Administrative Law Journal, a member of the Moot Court Board representing Washington College of Law in the New York County Bar Association's Antitrust Moot Court Competition, and a member of the Vietnam Veterans of America Legal Services/Public Interest Law Clinic. Upon graduating, Mr. Dahlstrom served as the Pro Se Staff Attorney for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York and was a law clerk to the Honorable Joan M. Azrack, United States Magistrate Judge.

Mr. Dahlstrom is admitted to practice in New York and Illinois, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Northern District of Illinois, Northern District of Indiana, Eastern District of Wisconsin, District of Colorado, Western District of Pennsylvania, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fourth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

GUSTAVO F. BRUCKNER

Gustavo F. Bruckner heads Pomerantz's Corporate Governance practice area, which enforces shareholder rights and prosecutes litigation challenging corporate actions that harm shareholders. Under Mr. Bruckner's leadership, the Corporate Governance group has achieved numerous noteworthy litigation successes. He has been quoted frequently by Bloomberg, Law360, *The New York Times*, and Reuters and was honored in 2016 and 2017 by Super Lawyers® as a "Top-Rated Securities Litigation Attorney," a recognition bestowed on no more than 5% of eligible attorneys in the New York Metro area. Mr. Bruckner regularly appears in state and federal courts across the nation.

Mr. Bruckner successfully argued *Strougo v. Hollander*, C.A. No. 9770-CB (Del. Ch. Ct. 2015), obtaining a landmark ruling in Delaware that bylaws adopted after stockholders are cashed out do not apply to stockholders affected by the transaction. In the process, Mr. Bruckner and the Corporate Governance team beat back a fee-shifting bylaw and were able to obtain a 25% price increase for members of the class cashed out in the "going private" transaction. Shortly thereafter, the Delaware Legislature adopted legislation to ban fee-shifting bylaws.

In *Miller v. Bolduc*, SUCV 2015-00807 (Mass. Sup. Ct. 2015), Mr. Bruckner and the Corporate Governance group, by initiating litigation, caused Implant Sciences to hold its first stockholder annual meeting in 5 years and to place an important compensation grant up for a stockholder vote.

In *Strougo v. North State Bancorp*, 15 CVS 14696 (North Carolina Superior Court 2015), Mr. Bruckner and the Corporate Governance team caused the North State Bancorp merger agreement to be amended to provide a "majority of the minority" provision for common stockholders in connection with the stockholder vote on the merger. As a result of the action, common stockholders had the ability to stop the merger if they did not wish it to go forward.

In *Hallandale Beach Police Officers and Firefighters' Personnel Retirement Fund vs. lululemon athletica, Inc.*, C.A. No. 8522-VCP (Del. Ch. Ct. 2014), in an issue of first impression in Delaware, Mr. Bruckner successfully argued for the production of the company chairman's Rule 10b5-1 stock trading plan. The Court found that a stock trading plan established by the company's chairman, pursuant to which a broker, rather than the chairman himself, would liquidate a portion of the chairman's stock in the company, did not preclude potential liability for insider trading.

Mr. Bruckner was Co-Lead Counsel in the matter of *In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. C.A. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), obtaining the elimination of stand-still provisions that allowed third parties to bid for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc., resulting in the emergence of a third-party bidder and approximately \$94 million (57%) in additional merger consideration for Great Wolf stockholders.

Mr. Bruckner received his law degree in 1992 from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, where he served as an editor of the Moot Court Board and on the Student Council. Upon graduation, he received the award for outstanding student service.

After graduating law school, Mr. Bruckner served as Chief-of-Staff to a New York City legislator.

Mr. Bruckner is a Mentor and Coach to the NYU Stern School of Business, Berkley Center for Entrepreneurial Studies, New Venture Competition. He was a University Scholar at NYU where he obtained a B.S. in Marketing and International Business in 1988 and an MBA in Finance and International Business in 1989.

Mr. Bruckner is a Trustee and the Treasurer of the Beit Rabban Day School, and an arbitrator in the Civil Court of the City of New York.

Mr. Bruckner is licensed to practice in New York and New Jersey and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Seventh Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

EMMA GILMORE

Emma Gilmore became associated with the Firm in May 2012 and became a partner in December 2015. Ms. Gilmore plays an integral role in the class action case in the Southern District of New York against Brazil's largest oil company, Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras, arising from a multi-billion dollar kickback and bribery scheme, in which the Firm is Lead Counsel. *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, No. 14-cv-9662 (S.D.N.Y.). The biggest corruption in the history of Brazil has ensnared not only Petrobras' former executives but also Brazilian politicians, including former president Lula da Silva, the Speaker of the House, and the Treasurer of the Workers' Party.

Ms. Gilmore also plays a leading role in the Firm's class action litigation against Deutsche Bank, arising from the bank's \$10 billion money-laundering scheme, for which Deutsche Bank was recently fined \$425 million by the New York State Department of Financial Services.

Ms. Gilmore also represents aggrieved investors in a class action against Samarco Mineracao S.A., in connection with the Fundao dam-burst disaster, which is widely regarded as the worst environmental disaster in Brazil's history.

Ms. Gilmore also plays a leading role in the class action litigation against Yahoo! Inc., in which the Firm is Lead Counsel. The case involves the biggest data breaches in U.S. history, in which over 1.5 billion Yahoo accounts were compromised.

Among other cases, Ms. Gilmore is part of the team prosecuting securities fraud claims against BP on behalf of many foreign and domestic public and private pension funds arising from the company's 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *In re BP p.l.c. Secs. Litig.*, No. 4:10-md-2185 (S.D. Tex.). She helped devise a cutting-edge strategy that established the right of individual foreign investors who purchased foreign-traded shares of a foreign corporation to pursue claims for securities fraud in a U.S. court, thereby overcoming obstacles created by the U.S. Supreme Court's 2010 decision in *Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank Ltd.*

Ms. Gilmore secured a unanimous decision by a panel of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, benefitting defrauded investors in *Costa Brava Partnership III LP v. ChinaCast Education Corp.* In an issue of first impression, the Ninth Circuit held that imputation of the CEO's scienter to the company was warranted vis-a-vis innocent third parties despite the fact that the executive acted for his own benefit and to the company's detriment.

Ms. Gilmore has also devoted a significant amount of time to high-profile pro bono matters. She played a critical role in securing a unanimous ruling by the Arkansas Supreme Court striking down as unconstitutional a state law banning cohabiting individuals from adopting children or serving as foster parents. The ruling was a relief for the 1,600 plus children in the state of Arkansas who needed a permanent family. The litigation generated significant publicity, including coverage by the *Arkansas Times*, the *Wall Street Journal*, and the *New York Times*.

Before joining Pomerantz, Ms. Gilmore was a litigation associate with the firms of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher and Flom, LLP and Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP, where she was involved in commercial and securities matters. Her experience includes working on the *WorldCom Securities Litigation*, representing more than a dozen prominent banks and also representing clients such as General Electric, Columbia University, Samsung, LG Electronics, Sony, Philips, BT, and JVC.

Ms. Gilmore served as a law clerk to the Honorable Thomas C. Platt, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of New York.

Ms. Gilmore graduated *cum laude* from Brooklyn Law School, where she served as a staff editor for the *Brooklyn Law Review*. She was the recipient of two CALI Excellence for the Future Awards, being the highest scoring student in the subjects of evidence and discovery. She graduated *summa cum laude* from Arizona State University, with a BA in French and a minor in Business.

Ms. Gilmore serves on the Firm's Anti-Harassment and Discrimination Committee.

Ms. Gilmore is admitted to practice in New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

MARC I. GROSS

Marc I. Gross served as the Firm's Managing Partner from 2009 to July 2016. He has been with Pomerantz for over three decades, focusing on securities fraud class actions and derivative actions, while also litigating antitrust and consumer cases, and is Lead Counsel in many of the Firm's major pending cases. He is a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation.

Mr. Gross' numerous notable achievements include: *In re BP plc Sec. Litig.* (individual and institutional investors have a right to sue under common law for purchases abroad); *In re Comverse Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$225 million settlement, including a \$60 million contribution by the former CEO); *In re Charter Communications Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$146.25 million settlement); *In re Salomon Analyst AT&T Litig.* (\$74.75 million settlement); *In re Elan Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$75 million settlement); and *Snyder v. Nationwide Insurance Co.* (derivative settlement valued at \$100 million). His role in high-profile cases has garnered international media attention. Mr. Gross has been interviewed on the CBS Evening News, the BBC, and numerous Israeli media sources. In 2012, Benchmark Litigation named Mr. Gross a "Local Litigation Star" in New York. He has been honored by Super Lawyers® as a "Top-Rated Securities Litigation Attorney" eight times, most recently in 2017.

Mr. Gross leads the Firm's ground-breaking litigations against BP. In the wake of *Morrison*, they developed an innovative legal strategy using common law as a viable path to recovery for BP common stockholders – in the U.S. federal court system. In a landmark 97-page decision publicly issued on October 10, 2013, the Honorable Keith Ellison of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas denied defendants' motion to dismiss Pomerantz's robust complaint filed on behalf of three U.S. pension funds that had purchased BP ordinary shares and ADS. Judge Ellison rejected defendants' arguments that the case should be sent to courts in England, and his decision to apply English law here negated the need to address defendants' arguments that the case should be dismissed under *Morrison* and the Dormant Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Most recently, Pomerantz successfully argued that foreign and domestic investors had asserted viable "holder claims" seeking to recover investment losses due to their retention of already-owned shares in reliance upon the fraud, which is believed to be the first ruling by a U.S. court sustaining such a theory under English common law.

Mr. Gross has extensive trial experience, including *In re Zila Inc. Securities Litig.* (D.C. Ariz. (PHX)) and *In re Zenith Labs Securities Litig.* (D.C. N.J.) Courts have consistently praised his lawyering. In approving the \$225 million settlement in *Comverse*, Judge Garaufis stated, "Throughout this litigation, [the Court] has been impressed by Lead Counsel's acumen and diligence. The briefing has been thorough, clear, and convincing."

At the January 30, 2012 hearing wherein the Court approved the settlement of *In re Chesapeake Shareholder Derivative Litig.*, (whereby plaintiffs clawed back \$13 million in excess compensation paid to CEO Aubrey McClendon) Judge Owens of the District Court of Oklahoma stated, "Counsel, it's a pleasure, and I mean this and rarely say it. I think I've said it two times in 25 years. It is an extreme pleasure to deal with counsel of such caliber."

Approving the \$100 million settlement in *Snyder*, where Mr. Gross was the lead Pomerantz lawyer, the court stated: "I think you all did a very, very good job for all the people. You made attorneys look good." Mr. Gross was also the attorney-in-charge of *Texas Int'l Co. Sec. Litig.* (W.D. Okla.),

where, in granting class certification, the Court stated: “The performance of plaintiffs’ counsel thus far leaves the Court with no doubt that plaintiffs’ claims will be vigorously and satisfactorily prosecuted throughout the course of this litigation.” In the course of approving the subsequent settlement of the case, the Court added:

I would like to compliment all the parties and attorneys in this case. . . . You have all worked together better than I think any case I’ve had that involved these extensive issues and parties and potential problems. And I for one appreciate it. And I think it shows certainly a great deal of professionalism on all your part.

Mr. Gross is a Fellow of the American Bar Association. He has been a member of the New York City Bar Association’s Federal Courts Committee, an early neutral evaluator for the Eastern District of New York, and a mediator for the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York. He is currently a Vice President of the Institute of Law and Economic Policy (“ILEP”), a not-for-profit organization devoted to promoting academic research and dialogue in securities law issues and litigation, and for many years was an officer of the National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys (“NASCAT”).

Mr. Gross is frequently invited to speak at legal forums in the United States and abroad on shareholder-related issues. He has moderated panels at the Loyola University Chicago School of Law’s Institute for Investor Protection Conference and spoken at, among others, the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems’ (“NCPERS”) Legislative Conferences and at Loyola University Chicago School of Law’s Institute for Investor Protection Conference. In July 2017, he participated on a panel entitled “Enhancing Consistency and Predictability in Applying Fraud-on-the-Market Theory” at the Duke NYC Conference, “Emerging Issues in Securities Class Actions.”

Mr. Gross is valued by foreign investors for his expertise in the relevance to them of securities class actions in the United States, and how they might benefit from participation. In 2012, Mr. Gross spoke at the Tel Aviv Institutional Investors Forum on “Israel’s Pyramids/Corporate Governance Lessons from the U.S.” and in 2011, participated in a panel at the National Association of Pension Funds Conference in Edinburgh regarding the impact of U.S. class actions on U.K. investors.

Mr. Gross authored “Class Certification in a Post-Halliburton II World,” published in *Law360* on July 21, 2014. He is the author of the article “Loser-Pays - or Whose ‘Fault’ Is It Anyway: A Response to Hensler-Rowe’s “Beyond ‘It Just Ain’t Worth It,’” which appeared in 64 *Law & Contemporary Problems* (Duke Law School) (2001). He recently authored “Class Certification in a Post-Halliburton II World,” published in *Law360* on July 21, 2014.

Mr. Gross graduated from New York University Law School in 1976, and received his undergraduate degree from Columbia University in 1973.

Mr. Gross is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

JENNIFER PAFITI

Jennifer Pafiti became associated with the Firm in May 2014, and became a partner in December 2015. A dual-qualified U.K. solicitor and U.S. attorney, she is the Firm's Head of Investor Relations and also takes an active role in complex securities litigation, representing clients in both class and non-class action securities litigation. In 2016, the *Daily Journal* elected Ms. Pafiti for its prestigious "Top 40 Under 40" list of the best young attorneys in California. In 2017, Ms. Pafiti was named a Southern California Rising Star by Super Lawyers®. Ms. Pafiti has also been included in Super Lawyers® and Rising Stars: Top Women Attorneys in Southern California for the year 2017.

Ms. Pafiti is an integral member of the Firm's litigation team for *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, a case relating to a multi-billion dollar kickback and bribery scheme at Brazil's largest oil company, Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras, in which the Firm is Lead Counsel. She is also involved in the litigations of *Dabe v. Calavo Growers*, *Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.*, *Isensee v. KaloBios*, *Robb v. FitBit, Inc.*, *Monachelli v. Hortonworks, Inc.*, *Plumley v. Sempra Energy*, and *Greenberg v. Sunrun, Inc.*, in which the Firm is Lead Counsel.

Ms. Pafiti earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Psychology at Thames Valley University in England prior to studying law. She earned her law degrees at Thames Valley University (G.D.L.) and the Inns of Court School of Law (L.P.C.) in the U.K. Ms. Pafiti is admitted to practice law in England and Wales (Solicitor) and in California.

Before studying law in England, Ms. Pafiti was a regulated financial advisor and senior mortgage underwriter at a major U.K. financial institution. She holds full CeFA and CeMAP qualifications. After qualifying as a Solicitor, Ms. Pafiti specialized in private practice civil litigation which included the representation of clients in high-profile cases in the Royal Courts of Justice. Prior to joining Pomerantz, Ms. Pafiti was an associate with Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP in their San Diego office.

Ms. Pafiti regularly travels throughout the U.S. and Europe to advise clients on how best to evaluate losses to their investment portfolios attributable to financial fraud or other misconduct, and how best to maximize their potential recoveries.

Ms. Pafiti serves on the Honorary Steering Committee of Equal Rights Advocates ("ERA"), which focuses on specific issues that women face in the legal profession. ERA is an organization that protects and expands economic and educational access and opportunities for women and girls.

Ms. Pafiti is a member of the National Association of Pension Fund Attorneys and represents the Firm as a member of the California Association of Public Retirement Systems, the State Association of County Retirement Systems, the National Association of State Treasurers, the National Conference of Employee Retirement Systems, the Texas Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems, and the U.K.'s National Association of Pension Funds.

Ms. Pafiti is admitted to practice in England and Wales; the State of California; and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California. She is based in Los Angeles.

JOSHUA B. SILVERMAN

Joshua B. Silverman specializes in individual and class action securities litigation. He was Lead Counsel in *In re Groupon, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, achieving a \$45 million settlement, representing one of the highest recoveries as a percentage of damages in the Seventh Circuit. Mr. Silverman was also Co-Lead Counsel in *In re MannKind Corp. Sec. Litig.*, reaching a settlement valued at more than \$23 million and setting precedent regarding the use of expert information in a shareholder complaint. He served as Co-Lead Counsel for three large public funds in *New Mexico State Investment Council, et al. v. Countrywide Fin. Corp., et al.*, resulting in a very favorable confidential settlement. He regularly represents clients in controversies involving securities fraud, private equity investments, hedge fund investments, structured financial instruments, securities lending arrangements, and investment consultants. In addition, Mr. Silverman was Co-Lead Counsel in *New Mexico State Inv. Council v. Cheslock Bakker & Associates* (summary judgment award in excess of \$30 million), played a key role in the Firm's representation of investors before the United States Supreme Court in *StoneRidge*, and prosecuted many of the Firm's other class cases, including *In re Sealed Air Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$20 million settlement), *Bruce v. Suntech Power Holdings Corp.* (\$5 million settlement); *In re AgFeed, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$7 million settlement); and *In re Hemispherx BioPharma Sec Litig.* (\$2.75 million settlement).

Before joining Pomerantz, Mr. Silverman practiced at McGuireWoods LLP and its Chicago predecessor, Ross & Hardies, where he represented one of the largest independent futures commission merchants in commodities fraud and civil RICO cases. He also spent two years as a securities trader, and continues to actively trade stocks, futures, and options for his own account.

Mr. Silverman is a 1993 graduate of the University of Michigan, where he received Phi Beta Kappa honors, and a 1996 graduate of the University of Michigan Law School.

Mr. Silverman is admitted to practice in Illinois, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Seventh and Eighth Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

LEIGH HANDELMAN SMOLLAR

Leigh Handelman Smollar, formerly Of Counsel to Pomerantz, became a partner in January 2012.

As a member of Pomerantz' Securities Litigation Group, Ms. Smollar plays a key role in litigating class actions against public companies for securities fraud. She was a member of the Pomerantz team in its successful litigation on behalf of three New Mexico pension funds related to Countrywide's mortgage-backed securities, resulting in a very favorable confidential settlement. Ms. Smollar has been a member of the Pomerantz litigation team for many of the cases where significant settlements were obtained. See *In re Sealed Air Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 03-CV-4372 (D.N.J.)(\$20 million settlement approved December 2009); and *In re Safety-Kleen Stockholders Securities Litigation*, 3:00-736-17 (D. S.C.) (as Co-Lead Counsel, Firm obtained a \$54.5 million settlement).

In June 2011, as a panelist at the Illinois Public Employee Retirement Systems Summit in Chicago, Illinois, Ms. Smollar gave a presentation entitled "Carrying Out Fiduciary Responsibilities in Management and Investments." She authored several articles and updates for the Illinois Institute for Continuing Legal Education (IICLE) including "Shareholder Derivative Suits and Stockholder

Litigation in Illinois," published in IICLE Chancery and Special Remedies 2004 Practice Handbook; "Prosecuting Securities Fraud Class Actions," published in IICLE Chancery and Special Remedies 2009 Practice Handbook, including a 2011 supplement to Chancery and Special Remedies; and a new chapter in the 2013 Edition of the Chancery and Special Remedies Practice Handbook. She also recently submitted an article for publication for the Loyola Law Journal entitled "The Importance Of Conducting Thorough Investigations Of Confidential Witnesses In Securities Fraud Litigation," expected for publication in 2015.

Ms. Smollar is currently litigating *In re Galena Biopharma, Inc.*, 3:14-cv-00367 (D. Or.); *Alizadeh v. Tellabs, Inc. et. al.*, 13-cv-537 (N.D. Ill.); *Lubbers v. Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.*, 14-cv-13459 (E.D. MI); and *Cooper v. Thoratec Corp.*, 14-cv-360 (N.D. Ca).

She is a 1993 graduate of the University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana, where she graduated from the School of Commerce with high honors, and a 1996 graduate of the Chicago-Kent College of Law. Ms. Smollar spent the next five years specializing in insurance defense litigation.

Ms. Smollar is admitted to practice in Illinois, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Seventh and Eighth Circuits.

MATTHEW L. TUCCILLO

Matthew L. Tuccillo joined Pomerantz in 2011 and was named a Partner in December 2013. He is responsible, on an ongoing basis, for the Firm's litigation of numerous securities fraud class actions pending nationwide, currently including: *In re KaloBios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 15-cv-05841 (N.D. Cal.); *Perez v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., et al.*, No. 14-cv-00755-AWT (D. Conn.); *Plumley v. Sempra Energy, et al.*, No. 16-CV-512 (S.D. Cal.); *Altayyar v. Etsy, Inc.*, No. 17-1180 (2d Cir.); and *Tucci v. The Toronto-Dominion Bank*, 1:17-cv-01735 (D.N.J.).

Mr. Tuccillo oversees and is the lead litigator on the Firm's securities fraud lawsuits arising from BP's 2010 Gulf oil spill, pending in Multidistrict Litigation 2185, *In re BP p.l.c. Secs. Litig.*, No. 4:10-md-2185 (S.D. Tex.). He briefed and argued successful oppositions to three rounds of BP's motions to dismiss the claims of roughly 100 institutional investors, drawing the court's praise for the "quality of lawyering," which it called "uniformly excellent." In leading the BP litigation, Mr. Tuccillo has secured some of the Firm's most ground-breaking rulings:

- He successfully argued that foreign and domestic investors had asserted viable "holder claims" seeking to recover investment losses due to their retention of already-owned shares in reliance upon the fraud, which is believed to be the first ruling by a U.S. court sustaining such a theory under English common law.
- He successfully argued against *forum non conveniens* dismissal, obtaining the first ruling after the Supreme Court's decision in *Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank Ltd.*, 130 S. Ct. 2869 (2010) to permit foreign investors pursuing foreign law claims to seek recovery for losses on a foreign stock exchange in a U.S. court.
- He successfully argued that the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998 (SLUSA), which extinguishes U.S. state law claims in deference to the U.S. federal securities

laws, should not be extended to foreign common law claims being pursued by both domestic and foreign investors.

- He successfully argued that the pendency of a class action extends tolling under *American Pipe & Construction Co. v. Utah*, 414 U.S. 538 (1974) to both the statute of limitation and the statute of repose applicable to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Mr. Tuccillo also fulfills Pomerantz's roles as MDL 2185 Individual Action Plaintiffs Steering Committee member and sole Liaison with BP and the Court. The Firm's BP clients include nearly three dozen public and private pension funds, investment management firms, limited partnerships, and investment trusts from the U.S., Canada, the U.K., France, the Netherlands, and Australia, seeking recovery for losses in BP's common stock (traded on the London Stock Exchange) and American Depository Shares (traded on the NYSE).

As the Firm's lead litigator in *In re KaloBios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 15-cv-05841 (N.D. Cal.), Mr. Tuccillo negotiated a partial class-wide settlement for a cash/stock fund worth roughly \$3 million from a bankrupt pharmaceutical company and its insurance carriers, while retaining the right to continue litigation against its former CEO. The settlement was approved by the bankruptcy court and preliminarily approved by the district court.

Mr. Tuccillo was the Firm's lead litigation lawyer in *In re Silvercorp Metals, Inc. Secs. Litig.*, No. 1:12-cv-09456 (S.D.N.Y.), a securities class action involving a Canadian company with mining operations in China and stock traded on the NYSE. He worked closely with mining, accounting, damages, and market efficiency experts to defeat a motion to dismiss and oversee discovery. After two mediations, the case was resolved for a \$14 million all-cash fund. In granting final approval of the settlement, Judge Rakoff noted that the case was "unusually complex," given the technical nature of mining metrics, the need to compare mining standards in Canada, China, and the U.S., and the volume of Chinese-language evidence requiring translation.

Mr. Tuccillo's prior casework also includes litigation and resolution of complex disputes over roll ups of consulting companies and of commercial real estate interests. At Pomerantz, he was on the multi-firm team that litigated and settled *In re Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. Investor Litig.*, No. 650607/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), representing investors in public and private commercial real estate interests against the long-term lessees/operators, the Malkin family and the Estate of Leona Helmsley, regarding a proposed consolidation, REIT formation, and IPO centered around New York's iconic Empire State Building. These efforts achieved broad relief for the class, including a \$55 million cash/securities settlement fund, a restructured deal creating a tax benefit estimated at \$100 million, expansive remedial disclosures, and important deal protections.

Mr. Tuccillo has also handled shareholder books and records demands, as well as shareholder derivative, consumer, wage and hour, and mergers and acquisitions litigation. His handling of *GSS 5-08 Trust v. Arch Chemicals, Inc.*, et al., No. X-08 FST-CV11-6010654-S (Conn. Sup. Ct.), concerning a Swiss multi-national's acquisition of a Connecticut-based chemicals company, earned the court's praise for his "preparation" and "hard work."

Before joining Pomerantz, Mr. Tuccillo began his career at a large full-service Boston firm, litigating primarily for corporate clients. He also worked at plaintiff-side firms in Boston and Connecticut,

litigating securities, consumer, and wage and hour class actions, as well as complex sale of business disputes. He has helped negotiate numerous multi-million dollar settlements, at times through the use of alternative dispute resolution. His pro bono work includes securing Social Security benefits for a veteran suffering from non-service-related disabilities.

Since 2016, Mr. Tuccillo has been a recommended securities litigator by The Legal 500, which evaluates law firms worldwide for cutting edge, innovative work based on client feedback, practitioner interviews, and independent research. He was honored as a 2016 and 2017 Super Lawyers® “Top-Rated Securities Litigation Attorney,” a recognition bestowed on no more than 5% of eligible attorneys in the New York Metro area, after a rigorous process overseen by Thompson Reuters. Since 2014, he has maintained Martindale-Hubbell’s highest-available AV® Preeminent™ peer rating, scoring 5.0 out of 5.0 in Securities Law, Securities Class Actions, and Securities Litigation while being described as a “First class, top flight lawyer, especially in complex litigation.” Mr. Tuccillo graduated from the Georgetown University Law Center in 1999, where he made the Dean’s List. He graduated from Wesleyan University in 1995, and he currently serves as President of the Wesleyan Lawyers Association.

Mr. Tuccillo is a member of the Bars the Supreme Court of the United States; the State of New York; the State of Connecticut; the Commonwealth of Massachusetts; the Second and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals; and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern District of New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, the Northern District of Illinois, and the Southern District of Texas. He is regularly admitted to practice *pro hac vice* in state and federal courts nationwide.

MURIELLE STEVEN WALSH

Murielle Steven Walsh graduated *cum laude* from New York Law School in 1996, where she was the recipient of the Irving Mariash Scholarship. During law school, Ms. Steven Walsh interned with the Kings County District Attorney and worked within the mergers and acquisitions group of Sullivan & Cromwell.

Ms. Steven Walsh joined the Firm in 1998 and became a partner in 2007. During her career at Pomerantz, Ms. Steven Walsh has prosecuted highly successful securities class action and corporate governance cases. She was one of the lead attorneys in prosecuting *In re Livent Noteholders’ Securities Litigation*, a securities class action in which she obtained a \$36 million judgment against the company’s top officers, a ruling which was upheld by the Second Circuit on appeal. Ms. Steven Walsh was also part of the team litigating the *EBC I v. Goldman Sachs* case, where the Firm obtained a landmark ruling from the New York Court of Appeals, that underwriters may owe fiduciary duties to their issuer clients in the context of a firm-commitment underwriting of an initial public offering.

Ms. Steven Walsh, along with Senior Partner Jeremy Lieberman, manages the Firm’s case against Corinthian Colleges, one of the largest for-profit college systems in the country, for alleged misrepresentations about its job placement rates, compliance with applicable regulations, and enrollment statistics. Pomerantz prevailed in the motion to dismiss proceedings, a particularly noteworthy victory because Chief Judge George King of the Central District of California had dismissed two prior lawsuits against Corinthian with similar allegations. She is currently litigating *Ruiz v. Citibank*, Case No. 10-cv-5950 ((S.D.N.Y); *Thorpe v. Walter Investment Management Corp.*,

Case No. 14-cv-20880-UU (S.D. Fla.); and *Klein v. Conformis*, Case No. 1:15-CV-13295-GAO (D. Mass.).

Ms. Steven Walsh serves on the Board of Trustees of the non-profit organization Court Appointed Special Advocates for Children (“CASA”) of Monmouth County. She also serves on the Honorary Steering Committee of Equal Rights Advocates (“ERA”), which focuses on specific issues that women face in the legal profession are discussed. ERA is an organization that protects and expands economic and educational access and opportunities for women and girls. In the past, Ms. Steven Walsh served as a member of the editorial board for Class Action Reports, a Solicitor for the Legal Aid Associates Campaign, and has been involved in political asylum work with the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Ms. Steven Walsh is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

MICHAEL J. WERNKE

Michael J. Wernke, who joined Pomerantz as Of Counsel in 2014 and became Partner in 2015, specializes in securities fraud litigation. He was Lead Counsel in *Thomas v. Magnachip Semiconductor Corp. et al.*, in which he recently achieved a \$23.5 million partial settlement with certain defendants, pending court approval, securing the settlement despite an ongoing investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission and shareholder derivative actions. He played an integral role in *In re Lumber Liquidators, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, in which Pomerantz, as Co-Lead Counsel, achieved a settlement of \$26 million in cash and 1,000,000 shares of Lumber Liquidators common stock for the Class.

Mr. Wernke, with Pomerantz Managing Partner Jeremy A. Lieberman, leads the Firm’s litigation in *In re Libor Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litig.* Pomerantz is Interim Lead Counsel for the Lender Class in this closely-watched multi-district litigation, which concerns the manipulation of the critical LIBOR rate by the sixteen banks reporting their lending costs to the British Bankers Association. This action was filed against each of the Contributor Panel banks for the USD LIBOR panel, which includes Bank of America Corporation, Citigroup Inc., Credit Suisse Group AG, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ Ltd., Barclays Bank plc, HSBC Holdings plc, and Deutsche Bank AG. The action seeks hundreds of billions of dollars in damages. Pomerantz has prevailed against defendants’ motions to dismiss the claims of our clients, Directors Financial Group and The Berkshire Bank.

During the nine years prior to coming to Pomerantz, Mr. Wernke was a litigator with Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP, with his primary focus in the securities defense arena. He brings to Pomerantz a unique perspective, with his extensive, successful experience in defending large, multinational financial institutions in securities fraud and commercial litigations.

In 2014 and 2015, Mr. Wernke was voted by his peers, through Super Lawyers®, as a “New York Metro Rising Star.”

In 2004, Mr. Wernke received his J.D. from Harvard Law School. He also holds a B.S. in Mathematics and a B.A. in Political Science from The Ohio State University, where he graduated *summa cum laude*.

Mr. Wernke is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

SENIOR COUNSEL

STANLEY M. GROSSMAN

Stanley M. Grossman, Senior Counsel, is the former Managing Partner of Pomerantz. He is recognized as a leader in the plaintiffs' securities bar. He was selected by *Super Lawyers* magazine as an outstanding attorney in the United States for the years 2006 through 2011, and was featured in the New York Law Journal article "*Top Litigators in Securities Field – A Who's Who of City's Leading Courtroom Combatants*." Mr. Grossman has litigated securities (individual and class), derivative and antitrust actions with the Firm for 39 years.

Mr. Grossman has primarily represented plaintiffs in securities and antitrust class actions, including many of those listed in the firm biography. See. e.g., *Ross v. Bernhard*, 396 U.S. 531; *Rosenfeld v. Black*, 445 F.2d 137 (2d Cir. 1971); *Wool v. Tandem*, 818 F.2d 1433 (9th Cir.); *In re Salomon Bros. Treasury Litig*, 9 F.3d 230 (2d Cir.). In 2008 he appeared before the United States Supreme Court to argue that scheme liability is actionable under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c). See *StoneRidge Investment Partners v. Scientific-Atlanta*, No. 06-43 (2007). Other cases where he was the Lead or Co-Lead counsel include: *In re Salomon Brothers Treasury Litigation*, 91 Civ. 5471 (S.D.N.Y. 1994) (\$100 million cash recovery); *In re First Executive Corporation Securities Litigation*, CV-89-7135 (C.D. Cal. 1994) (\$100 million settlement); *In re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, C98-4886 (N.D. Cal. 2000) (over \$80 million settlement for the class).

In 1992, Senior Judge Milton Pollack of the Southern District of New York appointed Mr. Grossman to the Executive Committee of counsel charged with allocating to claimants hundreds of millions of dollars obtained in settlements with Drexel Burnham & Co. and Michael Milken.

Many courts have acknowledged the high quality of legal representation provided to investors by Mr. Grossman. In *Gartenberg v. Merrill Lynch Asset Management, Inc.*, 79 Civ. 3123 (S.D.N.Y.), where Mr. Grossman was lead trial counsel for plaintiff, Judge Pollack noted at the completion of the trial:

[I] can fairly say, having remained abreast of the law on the factual and legal matters that have been presented, that I know of no case that has been better presented so as to give the Court an opportunity to reach a determination, for which the court thanks you.

Mr. Grossman was also the lead trial attorney in *Rauch v. Bilzerian* (Super. Ct. N.J.) (directors owed the same duty of loyalty to preferred shareholders as common shareholders in a corporate takeover), where the court described the Pomerantz team as "exceptionally competent counsel." He headed the six week trial on liability in *Walsh v. Northrop Grumman* (E.D.N.Y.) (a securities and ERISA class action arising from Northrop's takeover of Grumman), after which a substantial settlement was reached.

Mr. Grossman frequently speaks at law schools and professional organizations. In 2010, he was a panelist on *Securities Law: Primary Liability for Secondary Actors*, sponsored by the Federal Bar Council, and he presented *Silence Is Golden – Until It Is Deadly: The Fiduciary’s Duty to Disclose*, at the Institute of American and Talmudic Law. In 2009, Mr. Grossman was a panelist on a Practicing Law Institute “Hot Topic Briefing” entitled “*StoneRidge- Is There Scheme Liability or Not?*”

Mr. Grossman served on former New York State Comptroller Carl McCall’s Advisory Committee for the NYSE Task Force on corporate governance. He is a former president of NASCAT. During his tenure at NASCAT, he represented the organization in meetings with the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission and before members of Congress and of the Executive Branch concerning legislation that became the PSLRA.

Mr. Grossman served for three years on the New York City Bar Association’s Committee on Ethics, as well as on the Association’s Judiciary Committee. He is actively involved in civic affairs. He headed a task force on behalf of the Association, which, after a wide-ranging investigation, made recommendations for the future of the City University of New York. He serves on the board of the Appleseed Foundation, a national public advocacy group.

Mr. Grossman is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Central District of California, Eastern District of Wisconsin, District of Arizona, District of Colorado, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States Supreme Court.

OF COUNSEL

MICHELE S. CARINO

Michele S. Carino joined Pomerantz as Of Counsel in 2014. An experienced litigator and professional legal writer, Ms. Carino’s practice focuses on securities fraud, corporate governance, mergers and acquisitions, and complex commercial cases.

Before joining Pomerantz, Ms. Carino honed her skills as a securities and corporate governance attorney at Stroock and Grant & Eisenhofer, serving clients on both the defense and plaintiff side of class actions, shareholder derivative actions, and other investor protection cases.

Ms. Carino received her Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Binghamton University with Phi Beta Kappa honors in 1992 and graduated *magna cum laude* from Georgetown University Law Center in 1999. She has taught a legal research and writing seminar at Columbia University Law School, and has served as a Mentor and Coach to Legal Outreach, a constitutional law and college preparatory program for New York City public high school students.

Ms. Carino is admitted to practice law before the Supreme Court of the United States and the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the District of Delaware, and is a member of the bar of the states of New York and Delaware.

MICHAEL GRUNFELD

Michael Grunfeld joined Pomerantz in July 2017 as Of Counsel. He has extensive experience in securities, complex commercial, and white collar matters in federal and state courts around the country. In particular, Mr. Grunfeld has represented issuers, underwriters, and individuals in securities class actions dealing with a wide variety of industries. He has also represented financial institutions and individuals in cases related to RMBS, securities lending, foreign exchange practices, insider trading, and other financial matters.

Mr. Grunfeld is the co-author of a chapter on damages in securities class actions in the LexisNexis treatise, *Litigating Securities Class Actions*.

Mr. Grunfeld served as a clerk for Judge Ronald Gilman of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals and as a foreign law clerk for Justice Asher Grunis of the Israeli Supreme Court. Before joining Pomerantz, he was a litigation associate at Shearman & Sterling LLP and Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP. Mr. Grunfeld graduated from Columbia Law School in 2008, where he was a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar and Submissions Editor of the *Columbia Business Law Review*. He graduated from Harvard University with an A.B. in Government, *magna cum laude*, in 2004.

Mr. Grunfeld is admitted to practice in the State of New York, the Second, Fourth, and Sixth Circuit Courts of Appeals, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

JOHN A. KEHOE

John A. Kehoe has served as lead or Co-Lead Counsel in numerous securities and financial fraud cases in federal and state courts on behalf of institutional and individual clients, including *In re Bank of America Corporation Securities Litigation* (\$2.4 billion settlement); *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litigation* (\$627 million settlement); *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation* (\$586 million settlement resolving 309 consolidated actions); *In re Lehman Brothers Securities and ERISA Litigation* (\$516 million settlement); and *In re Marvell Technology Group Ltd. Securities Litigation* (\$72 million settlement). Mr. Kehoe is a program faculty member with the National Institute of Trial Advocacy, and served three years as an adjunct faculty member with the Trial Advocacy Training Program at Louisiana State University School of Law.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Mr. Kehoe was a partner with Girard Gibbs LLP and Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP representing institutional investors in securities class and direct actions, and was previously associated with Clifford Chance LLP, a London-based law firm where he represented Fortune 500 companies in securities and antitrust civil litigation, and enforcement actions brought by the Department of Justice, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Federal Trade Commission.

Mr. Kehoe is a frequent speaker at conferences focused on shareholder rights and corporate governance issues, including the 2013 National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (Rancho Mirage, CA); 2013 Investment Education Symposium (New Orleans, LA); 2013 Public Funds East Conference (Newport, RI); 2012 Rights and Responsibilities for Institutional Investors (Amsterdam, Netherlands); 2011 European Investment Roundtable (Stockholm, Sweden); 2011

Public Funds Symposium (Washington, D.C.); 2011 National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (Miami Beach, FL); 2010 ESG, USA Global Trends and U.S. Sustainable Investing (NY, NY); 2010 ICGN Annual Conference: "The Changing Global Balances" (Toronto, Canada); 2010 Public Funds West Summit (Scottsdale, AZ); 2009 ICGN Annual Conference: "The Route Map to Reform and Recovery" (Sydney, Australia); and the 2007 European Pensions Symposium (Marbella, Spain).

Mr. Kehoe received his Juris Doctorate, *magna cum laude*, from Syracuse University College of Law, was an associate editor of the Syracuse Law Review, associate member of the Syracuse Moot Court Board and alternate member on the National Appellate Team. He received a Master of Public Administration from the University of Vermont and Bachelor of Arts from DePaul University. Prior to attending law school, Mr. Kehoe served as a law enforcement officer in the State of Vermont where he was a member of the Special Reaction Team.

Mr. Kehoe is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Pennsylvania, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

H. ADAM PRUSSIN

Mr. Prussin specializes in securities litigation and has extensive experience in derivative actions. He was special litigation counsel in the derivative actions on behalf of Summit Metals, Inc., actions which resulted in entry of a judgment, after trial, of \$43 million in cash, plus an order transferring the stock of two multi-million-dollar companies to the plaintiff. Mr. Prussin is Co-Lead Counsel in several of Pomerantz's pending derivative actions.

Mr. Prussin has published several articles on the subject of the standards and procedures for the maintenance or dismissal of derivative actions, including "Termination of Derivative Suits Against Directors on Business Judgment Grounds: From Zapata to Aronson," 39 *The Business Lawyer* 1503 (1984); "Dismissal of Derivative Actions Under the Business Judgment Rule: Zapata One Year Later," 38 *The Business Lawyer* 401 (1983); and "The Business Judgment Rule and Shareholder Derivative Actions: Viva Zapata?," 37 *The Business Lawyer* 27 (1981). In June 2009 he spoke at the 6th Annual Securities Litigation Conference in New York, participating in the panel discussion, "From Behind Enemy Lines: The Perspective of Two Prominent Plaintiff Attorneys."

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Prussin was a named partner in Silverman, Harnes, Harnes, Prussin & Keller, which specializes in representing plaintiffs in shareholder derivative and class action litigation, particularly those involving self-dealing by corporate officers, directors and controlling shareholders. He played a key role in several landmark derivative cases in the Delaware courts, and has appeared frequently before the Delaware Supreme Court.

Mr. Prussin graduated *cum laude* from Yale College in 1969 and, after obtaining a Master's Degree from the University of Michigan in 1971, received his J.D. degree from Harvard Law School in 1974.

Mr. Prussin is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Ninth and D.C. Circuits.

BRENDA SZYDLO

Brenda Szydlo joined Pomerantz in January 2016 as Of Counsel. She brings to the Firm more than twenty-five years of experience in complex civil litigation in federal and state court on behalf of plaintiffs and defendants, with a particular focus on securities and financial fraud litigation, litigation against pharmaceutical corporations, accountants' liability, and commercial litigation.

Ms. Szydlo has represented investors in class and private actions that have resulted in significant recoveries, such as *In re Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation*, where the recovery was \$486 million, and *In re Refco, Inc. Securities Litigation*, where the recovery was in excess of \$407 million. She has also represented investors in opt-out securities actions, such as in *In re Bank of America Corp. Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation*. In addition, Ms. Szydlo has experience in mergers and acquisitions litigation. She played a significant role in obtaining a ground-breaking order enjoining not only the shareholder vote on the merger, but the merger agreement's termination fee and other mechanisms designed to deter competing bids, in *In re Del Monte Co. Shareholder Litigation*.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Ms. Szydlo served as Senior Counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., where she represented plaintiffs in securities and financial fraud litigation, and litigation against pharmaceutical corporations and accounting firms. Ms. Szydlo also served as Counsel in the litigation department of Sidley Austin LLP in New York, and its predecessor, Brown & Wood LLP, where her practice focused on securities litigation and enforcement, accountants' liability defense, and commercial litigation.

Ms. Szydlo is a 1988 graduate of St. John's University School of Law, where she was a St. Thomas More Scholar and member of the Law Review. She received a B.A. in economics from Binghamton University in 1985.

Ms. Szydlo is admitted to practice in the State of New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York; and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits.

NICOLAS TATIN

French lawyer Nicolas Tatin joined Pomerantz in April 2017 as Of Counsel. He heads the Firm's Paris office and serves as its Director-Business Development Consultant for France, Benelux, Monaco and Switzerland. Mr. Tatin advises institutional investors in the European Union on how best to evaluate losses to their investment portfolios attributable to financial misconduct, and how best to maximize their potential recoveries in U.S. and international securities litigations.

Mr. Tatin was previously a financial lawyer at ERAFP, France's €24bn pension and retirement fund for civil servants, where he provided legal advice on the selection of management companies and the implementation of mandates entrusted to them by ERAFP.

Mr. Tatin began his career at Natixis Asset Management, before joining BNP Paribas Investment Partners, where he developed expertise in the legal structuring of investment funds and acquired a global and cross-functional approach to the asset management industry.

Mr. Tatin graduated in International law and received an MBA from IAE Paris, the Sorbonne Graduate Business School.

AUSTIN P. VAN

Austin P. Van joined Pomerantz in January 2017 as Of Counsel. He brings to the Firm experience in a variety of federal and state securities law matters, including disputes involving publicly traded stocks, RMBS and other ABS, securities lending disputes, and breach-of-trust matters arising in the securities law context.

Mr. Van also has experience in complex commercial litigation, including contract disputes, business torts, consumer fraud, and antitrust matters. He has represented investment banks and other financial sector clients, as well as public and private companies in the technology, energy, pharmaceutical, telecommunications and shipping industries, among others. Mr. Van was previously an associate at WilmerHale and Cravath, Swaine & Moore, both in New York City.

Mr. Van received a J.D. from Yale Law School, where he was an editor of the Yale Law Journal and the Yale Journal of International Law. He has a B.A. from Yale University and an M.Sc. from the London School of Economics.

Mr. Van is admitted to practice law in the State of New York and in the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

TAMAR A. WEINRIB

Tamar A. Weinrib joined Pomerantz in early 2008 and became Of Counsel to the firm in 2014. Ms. Weinrib focuses on securities fraud litigation. She was honored by Super Lawyers® as a New York Metro Rising Star in 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.

Ms. Weinrib, with Managing Partner Jeremy Lieberman, is Lead Counsel in *Strougo v. Barclays PLC*, a securities class action, currently pending before Judge Marrero in the Southern District of New York, that alleges Barclays PLC misled institutional investor clients about the extent of the banking giant's use of so-called "dark pool" trading systems. This case turns on the duty of integrity owed by Barclays to its clients. Ms. Weinrib was the attorney responsible for the litigation of *In re Delcath Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*, in which Pomerantz recently achieved a settlement of \$8,500,000 for the Class. She successfully argued before the Second Circuit in *In re China North East Petroleum Securities Litigation* to reverse the district court's dismissal of the defendants on scienter grounds. In addition to her involvement in several other securities matters pending nationwide, Ms. Weinrib is the Pomerantz attorney responsible for the litigation of *KB Partners I, L.P. v. Pain Therapeutics, Inc., et al.*, a securities fraud case for which Judge Sparks of the Western District of Texas recently granted final approval for a settlement of up to \$8,500,000 for class members.

Before coming to Pomerantz, Ms. Weinrib had over three years of experience as a litigation

associate in the New York office of Clifford Chance US LLP, where she focused on complex commercial litigation. Ms. Weinrib has successfully tried pro bono cases, including two criminal appeals and a housing dispute filed with the Human Rights Commission.

Ms. Weinrib graduated from Fordham University School of Law in 2004 and, while there, won awards for successfully competing in and coaching Moot Court competitions.

Ms. Weinrib is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Third, and Fourth Circuits.

ASSOCIATES

JESSICA N. DELL

Jessica Dell focuses her practice on securities fraud and insurance/healthcare litigation.

Ms. Dell graduated from CUNY School of Law in 2005. At CUNY Ms. Dell spent three semesters in the school's award-winning clinical programs including The Economic Justice Project. She represented indigent clients in family court and administrative proceedings and authored successful immigration petitions under the Violence Against Women Act.

Ms. Dell interned at the Urban Justice Center and was the recipient of an Everett fellowship for her work in the HIV/AIDS division and at Human Rights Watch.

Ms. Dell has also worked in complex Pro Bono litigation at Pomerantz.

Ms. Dell is admitted to practice in New York.

MARC C. GORRIE

Mr. Gorrie joined Pomerantz in 2014. He focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation and is actively involved in the Firm's securities lawsuit concerning Petróleo Brasileiro S.A.- Petrobras. As a member of the Firm's new matter group, he identifies and investigates potential violations of the federal securities laws.

Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Gorrie focused his practice on a major securities fraud litigation with a prominent New York law firm. He was actively engaged in legal outreach for the Center for Seafarers' Rights of the Seamen's Church Institute of New York and New Jersey. Mr. Gorrie has previously served as a consultant for an EU development project on the rule of law in The Gambia. He has authored articles on international humanitarian and human rights law published by organizations including the Foreign Policy Association and the Revue de Droit Comparé du Travail et de la Sécurité Sociale. Mr. Gorrie currently serves a member of the Ambassadors Advisory Group for One to One International Consulting, an international aid and development consulting firm headquartered in Ghana.

Mr. Gorrie is a 2010 graduate of Indiana University Maurer School of Law - Bloomington (JD) where he held a research fellowship in legal ethics and was consistently on the Dean's List. He is a 2012 graduate of University of Lund, Sweden (LLM, in conjunction with the Raoul Wallenberg Institute of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law) where he earned honors marks, lectured on U.S. Legal Ethics and on Federal Indian Law, and delivered his thesis on the interaction of tribal, state, federal, and international human rights and labor laws in the United States. Mr. Gorrie is a 2005 graduate of Sarah Lawrence College with a BA in Liberal Arts.

Mr. Gorrie is admitted to practice in New Jersey State and for the United States District Court, District of New Jersey.

GABRIEL HENRIQUEZ

Gabriel Henriquez focuses his practice on corporate governance litigation. He also has experience in commercial real estate, trusts & estates, and general business law in the Southern California region.

Mr. Henriquez is a 2012 graduate of the University of Southern California Gould School of Law. During his time there, he co-founded the Critical Legal Scholars Association and served as its vice president. He also clerked for Judge Kelvin Filer at the Superior Court of California in Compton. Mr. Henriquez earned his LL.M. in International Business and European Union Law from the Université Lyon III in France.

Mr. Henriquez is admitted to practice in New York and California State Courts, as well as the United States District Courts for the Central District of California, the Southern District of New York, and the Eastern District of New York.

J. ALEXANDER HOOD II

J. Alexander Hood II focuses his practice on securities litigation. As a member of the firm's new matter group, he identifies and investigates potential violations of the federal securities laws.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Mr. Hood was a litigation associate at Alston & Bird LLP and an attorney at Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP, where he was involved in commercial, financial services, corporate governance and securities matters.

Mr. Hood graduated from Boston University School of Law (J.D.) and from the University of Oregon School of Law (LL.M.). While in law school, Mr. Hood clerked for the American Civil Liberties Union of Tennessee and, as a legal extern, worked on the Center for Biological Diversity's Clean Water Act suit against BP in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Mr. Hood graduated from Johns Hopkins University with a BA in History.

Mr. Hood is admitted to practice in New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of Colorado, and the Eastern District of Michigan.

AATIF IQBAL

Aatif Iqbal focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation.

Before joining Pomerantz, Mr. Iqbal was a litigation associate at Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, where his practice involved bankruptcy, securities, and complex commercial litigation matters. Mr. Iqbal also served as a law clerk for the Honorable Patricia A. Seitz, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Florida.

Mr. Iqbal graduated cum laude from Harvard Law School, where he earned a Dean's Scholar in First Amendment Law and served as Managing Editor of the Harvard International Law Journal and Managing Technical Editor of the Harvard Human Rights Journal. He graduated cum laude from Yale University with a B.A. in Political Science.

Mr. Iqbal is admitted to practice in New York.

OMAR JAFRI

Omar Jafri's practice focuses on securities fraud litigation.

Before joining Pomerantz LLP, he was a law clerk to Judge William S. Duffey, Jr. of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. Mr. Jafri was also an associate at Jenner & Block LLP's Chicago Office, where he represented clients in a wide variety of matters, including securities litigation, complex commercial litigation, white collar criminal defense and internal investigations.

During the last several years, Mr. Jafri has litigated major disputes on behalf of institutional investors arising out of the credit crisis, including disputes relating to Collateralized Debt Obligations, Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities, Credit Default Swaps and other complex financial investments. He also has provided pro bono representation to several individuals charged with first-degree murder and attempted murder in the State and Federal courts of Illinois.

Mr. Jafri graduated, magna cum laude, from the University of Illinois College of Law, where he was a Harno Scholar, and represented the College of Law in the Midwest Moot Court competition, winning second place for best oral advocate in the preliminary round and first place for best oral advocate in the semi-final round. He received his B.A. from the University of Texas at Austin, where he was on the Dean's Honor List and the University Honors List.

Mr. Jafri is admitted to practice in Illinois, and the United States District Courts for the Northern District of Illinois and the Northern District of Indiana.

DARYA KAPULINA-FILINA

Darya Kapulina-Filina focuses her practice on corporate governance litigation.

Ms. Kapulina-Filina has nine years' experience as a litigator. Prior to joining Pomerantz in July 2016, she was an associate and of counsel at Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer Baratz's corporate and IP litigation department.

Ms. Kapulina-Filina obtained her J.D. from Hofstra University School of Law in 2006. While in law school, she served as judicial clerk in the Queens County Civil Court.

Ms. Kapulina-Filina has been named a New York Metro "Rising Star" by Super Lawyers® for the past three years, and was listed as one of the Top Women Attorneys in New York in the *New York Times Magazine*.

She is admitted to practice in New York; the United States District Courts for the Southern and the Eastern Districts of New York; and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second Circuit and the Federal Circuit.

Ms. Kapulina-Filina is fluent in Russian.

ADAM GIFFORDS KURTZ

Adam Giffords Kurtz focuses his practice on antitrust litigation.

Mr. Kurtz served as a law clerk to the Honorable Juan G. Burciaga, then Chief United States District Judge, District of New Mexico and began his career as a litigation associate at Cravath, Swaine & Moore, where he worked on complex securities fraud and antitrust litigation. He was also a solo practitioner in New Mexico where he concentrated on federal criminal defense and civil litigation. In addition, Mr. Kurtz served as an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the General Litigation and Labor and Employment law divisions of the New York City Law Department.

Mr. Kurtz graduated *cum laude* from New York Law School in 1988, where he was Book Review Editor of the New York Law School Law Review. In June 2009, Mr. Kurtz received an MBA from the Baruch/Mt. Sinai Graduate Program in Health Care Administration. He is a member of the American Health Lawyers Association.

Mr. Kurtz is admitted to practice in New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Louis C. Ludwig

Louis C. Ludwig focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation. He has been honored in 2016 and 2017 as a Super Lawyers® “Rising Star” in the Chicago Metro area.

Mr. Ludwig graduated from Rutgers University School of Law in 2007, where he was a Dean’s Law Scholarship Recipient, interned at South Jersey Legal Services, served as a Certified Legal Intern in the Rutgers-Camden Children’s Justice Clinic, and participated in Advanced Moot Court.

After serving as a law clerk to the Honorable Arthur Bergman, Superior Court of New Jersey, Mr. Ludwig began his career as a litigation associate at a boutique Chicago law firm specializing in consumer protection class actions.

Mr. Ludwig is admitted to practice in New Jersey, Illinois, the United States Courts of Appeal for the Seventh and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the District of New Jersey and the Northern District of Illinois.

MATTHEW C. MOEHLMAN

Matthew C. Moehlman focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation.

Prior to joining Pomerantz in 2015, Mr. Moehlman practiced securities litigation at two prominent federal securities law firms, where he represented institutional investors in complex securities fraud cases, shareholder advocacy, corporate governance matters, and mergers and acquisitions litigation. Mr. Moehlman was a member of the Lead or Co-Lead counsel teams that successfully prosecuted *In re Aeropostale Securities Litigation*, *In re Colonial BancGroup, Inc. Securities Litigation*, *Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, et al. v. Freddie Mac, et al.* (“Freddie Mac”), *In re Delphi Corporation Securities Litigation*, *In re SFBC International, Inc. Securities Litigation*, *In re Converium Holding AG Securities Litigation*, and *In re Suprema Specialties, Inc. Securities Litigation*. His M&A litigation experience includes *In re El Paso Corporation Shareholder Litigation*.

Mr. Moehlman received a J.D. from the University of Virginia School of Law. He earned an A.B. in English and American Literature & Language from Harvard University.

Mr. Moehlman is admitted to practice in New York and Texas, and the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

VERONICA V. MONTENEGRO

Veronica V. Montenegro focuses her practice on securities fraud litigation.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Ms. Montenegro served for seven years as an Assistant Attorney General in the Investor Protection Bureau in the Office of the New York State Attorney General. Ms. Montenegro represented the Office in some of its most high-profile financial fraud prosecutions. She worked on a case against a Madoff feeder-fund manager which resulted in the return of millions of dollars to defrauded investors. She was a member of the Residential Mortgage

Backed Securities (RMBS) Working Group, comprised of State and Federal prosecutors tasked with investigating and prosecuting mortgage securities fraud, which has resulted in billions of dollars in recoveries. In recognition of her work in the RMBS Working Group, Ms. Montenegro was awarded the Louis Lefkowitz Award for Exceptional Service. Ms. Montenegro also worked on cases involving insider trading, auction rate securities and foreign exchange execution.

Ms. Montenegro graduated from Fordham University School of Law in 2008. During law school, she served as a member of the Fordham International Law Journal and in Fordham's Moot Court Board. Additionally, she served as a judicial extern to the Honorable Ronald L. Ellis, Magistrate Judge for the Southern District of New York. Ms. Montenegro graduated from New York University's College of Arts and Science in 2004, cum laude, with a double major in Political Science and Latin American Studies.

Ms. Montenegro is admitted to practice in the States of New York and New Jersey.

JUSTIN S. NEMATZADEH

Justin S. Nematzadeh focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation.

Prior to joining Pomerantz in 2015, Mr. Nematzadeh practiced at Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP. His practice at Gibson Dunn involved federal and state complex litigation and internal and regulatory investigations, focusing on securities and antitrust litigation. Additionally, for his work in representing plaintiffs in *Toney-Dick v. Doar*, he was awarded a 2013 Pro Bono Publico award from The Legal Aid Society.

Mr. Nematzadeh earned his J.D. degree, *cum laude*, from Fordham University School of Law. During law school, he served as a member of the *Fordham Urban Law Journal* and as a business editor of the Fordham Dispute Resolution Society. Additionally, he served as a judicial intern to the Honorable Stuart M. Bernstein of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. He earned his B.B.A. degree, with distinction, from the University of Michigan Stephen M. Ross School of Business, with an emphasis in finance and corporate strategy. During business school, he was awarded a University of Michigan Alumnae Council MBNA Scholarship.

Mr. Nematzadeh is the co-author of the *Delaware Business Court Insider* article entitled "Lead Plaintiffs' Shareholdings Draw Chancery Review." He has also contributed to chapters in the American Bar Association's *Antitrust Law Developments*, Matthew Bender's *Antitrust Laws and Trade Regulation*, and to Professor Deborah W. Denno's chapter entitled "When Willie Francis Died: The 'Disturbing' Story Behind One of the Eighth Amendment's Most Enduring Standards of Risk" in John H. Blume and Jordan M. Steiker's book, *Death Penalty Stories*.

Mr. Nematzadeh is admitted to practice law in New York and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. He is a member of the American Bar Association and the New York State Bar Association.

JENNIFER BANNER SOBERS

Jennifer Banner Sobers focuses her practice on securities fraud litigation.

In addition to her involvement in numerous cases pending nationwide, Ms. Sobers currently plays an integral role on the team litigating *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, arising from a multi-billion dollar kickback and bribery scheme involving Brazil's largest oil company, Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Ms. Sobers was an associate with a prominent law firm in New York where her practice focused on complex commercial litigation, including securities law and accountants' liability. An advocate of pro bono representation, Ms. Sobers earned the Empire State Counsel honorary designation from the New York State Bar Association and received an award from New York Lawyers for the Public Interest for her pro bono work.

Ms. Sobers received her B.A. from Harvard University (with honors), where she was on the Dean's List, a Ron Brown Scholar, and a recipient of the Harvard College Scholarship. She received her J.D. from University of Virginia School of Law where she was a participant in the Lile Moot Court Competition and was recognized for her pro bono service.

She is a member of the New York City and New York State Bar Associations. She is also a member of the Association of Arbitrators.

Ms. Sobers is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits.

SUSAN J. WEISWASSER

Susan J. Weiswasser focuses her practice on securities fraud litigation.

Ms. Weiswasser graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 2000. While there, she served as a law clerk intern to the Honorable Edward R. Korman, Judge of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. She also served as a legal intern with the New York State Capital Defender Office. As an attorney, she has worked with the Pro Bono Bankruptcy Clinic of the New York City Bar Association. For the last several years, Ms. Weiswasser has been a volunteer lawyer with the City Bar's Monday Night Law Clinic, a community service program providing the public with free consultations in several areas including consumer, landlord-tenant, and matrimonial law.

At Pomerantz, Ms. Weiswasser is a member of the team litigating the securities lawsuit against Petróleo Brasileiro (Petrobras).

Ms. Weiswasser is a member of the New York City Bar Association and the Federal Bar Council. She is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

STAFF ATTORNEYS

Hui M. Chang

Hui M. Chang joined Pomerantz in 2015 and focuses her practice on antitrust and securities fraud litigation. She is a member of Pomerantz's team for two securities class actions against Brazilian companies: *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, and *Manidhar Kukkadapu, et al. v. Embraer, et al.*

Ms. Chang received her J.D. from University of California, Hastings College of the Law, and her B.A. in Political Science from the University of California, Berkeley.

Ms. Chang is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Átila de Carvalho Beatrice Condini

Átila de Carvalho Beatrice Condini, an international attorney at Pomerantz, focuses on class action securities litigation.

Mr. Condini brings to Pomerantz his 13 years' expertise in complex Brazilian federal legal, procedural, and regulatory issues. He is a member of Pomerantz's team for three securities class actions against Brazilian companies: *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, *Manidhar Kukkadapu, et al. v. Embraer, et al.*, and *Banco Safra S.A. - Cayman Islands Branch v. Samarco Mineração S.A. et al.*

Mr. Condini is a partner (on leave) at the law firm, Condini & Tescari Advogados, in São Paulo, Brazil, where he was responsible for the tax and litigation divisions. Before that, he was an associate and senior associate at two other major Brazilian law firms in São Paulo. During that period, he successfully worked on cases that became benchmarks in the Brazilian legal scenario. In one of them, he prepared a brief in the Extraordinary Appeal nº 559.937, whose thesis was accepted by the Brazilian Supreme Court, reducing the social contribution taxes (PIS / COFINS-Importação) levied on imports. In another case, he defended an advanced interpretation about D&O responsibilities, which was also accepted by the Brazilian Supreme Court in the Extraordinary Appeal nº 562.276.

Mr. Condini has also been attentive to social causes, not only practicing pro bono, but also in the human rights field. For example, Mr. Condini conducted a legal research project that ultimately resulted in the human rights group, Tortura Nunca Mais, being able to help fund and support the creation of a free virtual library focused on keeping alive Brazilian recent history for future generations. In 2006, Mr. Condini received a Bachelor of Laws degree from Pontifical University Catholic of São Paulo ("PUC/SP"). In 2008, he received a specialized law degree in taxation from PUC/SP.

MARCELA FERNANDES LEVI

Marcela F. Levi, an international attorney at Pomerantz, joined the firm in 2015 to focus on class action securities litigations involving Brazilian companies. She is a member of the litigation team in two cases in which Pomerantz is Lead Counsel: *In re Petrobras Sec. Litig.*, and *Manidhar Kukkadapu, et al. v. Embraer, et al.*

Prior to coming to Pomerantz, Ms. Levi was an in-house counsel at Finvest Specialty Finance, a private equity firm in São Paulo, Brazil, advising clients on structured investments and venture capital projects. Prior to this, she was an associate at the two biggest law firms in Brazil, Mattos Filho and Pinheiro Neto Advogados, where she represented companies and financial institutions, counseling them through public offerings and other filings with the Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission (CVM), the São Paulo Stock Exchange (*BM&FBovespa*) and the corporate governance association (ANBIMA), all related to the capital markets practice. She also interacted directly with these regulatory agencies to develop solutions and mediate sensitive problems and timelines with the issuing companies and/or underwriters.

Ms. Levi also was a trainee for two of the largest investment banks in the world, Banco Santander and Banco Itaú BBA. Her eight years of work experience in the private sector, six of which were as a qualified Brazilian lawyer, were focused on corporate, M&A, securities and structured finance laws.

In 2012, Ms. Levi received a Master in Law from the University of Pennsylvania, with a graduate thesis on consumer rights in financial products. In 2007, she received a Bachelor of Law degree from the Pontifical University Catholic of São Paulo (PUC-SP). Ms. Levi also holds specializing certificates in finance from the Wharton School of Finance in Philadelphia and the INSPER School of Business in São Paulo.

Ms. Levi is admitted to practice in Brazil and in New York.

RICHARD S. ORTIZ

Richard S. Ortiz focuses his practice on securities litigation.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Mr. Ortiz worked as an Attorney Consultant in the Legal and Compliance Department of the asset management business of BNP Paribas. While there, he focused on identifying legal and compliance risks within BNPP IP North America and ensured that such risks were effectively mitigated with appropriate controls.

Mr. Ortiz received a Bachelor of Arts in English in 1998 from the State University of New York at Buffalo. He received his Master of Law in Financial Services Law from New York Law School, with a thesis on the cross-border application of Dodd-Frank and the external and internal business conduct rules for SWAP dealers and major SWAP participants. Mr. Ortiz received his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Buffalo Law School, with honors in American Jurisprudence; Environmental Law and Technology; and Future Interests. He also received the Kenneth A. Gomez Memorial Award, which is presented to the law student who contributed most to the goals of

equality and justice for all minorities. Mr. Ortiz was a Philip C. Jessup International Moot Court Semifinalist; was on the staff of the Buffalo Intellectual Property Law Journal; and was an active contributor for Legal Services for the Elderly of Western New York.

Mr. Ortiz is admitted to practice in New York State, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Supreme Court.

Mr. Ortiz is bilingual in English and Spanish.

LAURA M. PERRONE

Laura M. Perrone focuses on class action securities litigation.

Prior to joining Pomerantz, Ms. Perrone worked on securities class action cases at Labaton Sucharow. Preceding that experience, she represented plaintiffs at her own securities law firm, the Law Offices of Laura M. Perrone, PLLC.

Ms. Perrone graduated from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, where she was on the editorial staff of Cardozo's Arts and Entertainment Law Journal, and was the recipient of the Jacob Burns Merit Scholarship.

Ms. Perrone is admitted to practice in the New York State Courts, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and the Fifth Circuits.